



**San Francisco Public Utilities Commission  
 Citizens' Advisory Committee  
 Water Subcommittee**

**MEETING MINUTES**

**Tuesday, September 27, 2022  
 5:30 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.**

**PARTICIPATE VIA ZOOM VIRTUAL CONFERENCE SOFTWARE**

**Meeting URL**

<https://sfwater.zoom.us/j/89249730582?pwd=L2JHTWp5c2k5Y0xzY2JkOHFZTlp3UT09>

**Phone Dial-in**

669 219 2599

Find your local number: <https://sfwater.zoom.us/j/89249730582?pwd=L2JHTWp5c2k5Y0xzY2JkOHFZTlp3UT09>

**Meeting ID / Passcode**

892 4973 0582 / 807356

**Mission:** The Water Subcommittee reviews water supply system reliability, water conservation, recycling, regional cooperation efforts and other relevant plans and policies. ([Admin Code 5.140-142](#))

This meeting is being held by Teleconference Pursuant to the Governor's Executive Order N-29-20 and the Sixteenth Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated February 25, 2020

During the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) emergency, the San Francisco Public Utilities Citizens Advisory Committee's (SFPUC CAC) regular meeting room, 525 Golden Gate Ave., 3rd Floor Tuolumne Conference Room, is closed. CAC Members and SFPUC staff will convene CAC meetings remotely by teleconference. Members of the public are encouraged to submit their public comment on agenda items in advance of the teleconference meeting by emailing comments to [cac@sfwater.org](mailto:cac@sfwater.org). Comments submitted no later than 12 PM the day of the meeting will be read into the record by SFPUC CAC Staffing Team members during the teleconference meeting and will be treated as a substitute to providing public comment during the meeting. Persons who submit written public comment in advance on an agenda item or items will not be permitted to also provide public comment on the same agenda item(s) during the meeting.

**Members:**

<b>Jennifer Clary (Chair) (D11)</b>	Suki Kott (D2)	Amy Nagengast (D8)
Nicole Sandkulla (M-Reg'l Water Customers)	Eliahu Perszyk (M-Large Water User)	Douglas Jacuzzi (D4)

D = District Supervisor appointed, M = Mayor Appointed, B = Board President appointed

**Staff Liaisons:** Mayara Ruski Augusto Sa, Lexus Moncrease, and Jotti Aulakh  
 Staff Email for Public Comment: [cac@sfwater.org](mailto:cac@sfwater.org)

**OUR MISSION:** To provide our customers with high-quality, efficient and reliable water, power and sewer services in a manner that values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted to our care.

**London N. Breed**  
 Mayor

**Newsha Ajami**  
 President

**Sophie Maxwell**  
 Vice President

**Tim Paulson**  
 Commissioner

**Tony Rivera**  
 Commissioner

**Kate Stacy**  
 Commissioner

**Dennis J. Herrera**  
 General Manager



## ORDER OF BUSINESS

### 1. Call to Order and Roll Call at 5:32 pm

Members present at roll call: (6) Clary, Sandkulla, Kott, Perszyk, Nagengast, and Jacuzzi

Members Absent: (0)

Staff presenters: John Scarpulla

Members of the Public: Unidentified caller

### 2. Approval of the [July 26, 2022](#), Minutes

Motion was made (Kott) and seconded (Jacuzzi) to approve the July 26, 2022, Minutes.

AYES: (6) Clary, Sandkulla, Kott, Perszyk, Nagengast, and Jacuzzi

NOES: (0)

ABSENT: (0)

Public Comment: None

### 3. Report from the Chair

- Chair welcomes Committee members, staff, and the public

Public Comment: None

### 4. Public Comment: Members of the public may address the Committee on matters that are within the Committee's jurisdiction and are not on today's agenda (*2 minutes per speaker*)

Public Comment: None

### 5. Discussion and Possible Action: [Resolution Making Findings to Allow Teleconferenced Meetings Under California Government Code Section 54953\(e\)](#), Jennifer Clary, Water CAC Chair

Motion was made (Nagengast) and seconded (Perszyk) to adopt the resolution.

The motion PASSED with the following votes:

AYES: (6) Clary, Sandkulla, Kott, Perszyk, Nagengast, and Jacuzzi

NOES: (0)

ABSENT: (0)

Public Comment: None

## 6. Presentation and Discussion: Emergency Firefighting System Update

John Scarpulla, Director of Strategic Initiatives, External Affairs

### *Presentation*

- **Staff Scarpulla** commented that in December 2021, the SFPUC submitted a plan to the Board of supervisors (BOS) that laid out a citywide expansion of the emergency firefighting water system including expansion to the west, south, southwest, and southeast. Scarpulla noted that the plan was accepted and the SFPUC now has the money to implement the beginning of the west side project via the 2020 earthquake safety emergency response bond that was approved by the voters of San Francisco in March of 2020. He commented that the project has two phases and phase one is under construction with pipelines being installed in the Sunset. Scarpulla summarized that phase one runs pipelines from Lake Merced to the Richmond District without completing the loop. He noted that water systems should be looped for many reasons, such as reliability. Scarpulla added that phase one of the project is moving forward, however, phase two and the rest of the citywide plan, such as the expansion to the south, southwest, and southeast, does not have funding. He commented that Supervisor Mar, who has been a leader on this topic for many years, called a hearing and introduced a resolution asking for the Office of Resilience Capital Planning to put together a financing plan that the City can use to pay for this expansion. Scarpulla noted that the plan is due to the BoS by December 31, 2022. He added that the BoS will need to discuss whether they want to issue a standalone bond for the emergency firefighting water system. Scarpulla noted that traditionally, the emergency firefighting water system expansion and improvements have been funded through the ESER (earthquake safety and fund emergency response) bond and voters have passed it three times in 2010, 2014, and 2020. Scarpulla added that in addition to funding the EFWS (emergency firefighting water system), the ESER bonds have funded fire stations, police stations, and things of that nature and are general emergency safety bonds. He noted that the BoS will have to answer whether they continue to fund the EFWS through ESER bonds or if they issue standalone bonds for the EFWS. He commented that this was not a decision that the SFPUC could make and is a decision for the BoS working with the Mayor's office and the Office of Resilience and Capital Planning. Scarpulla noted that the SFPUC is currently working on phase one on the west side. He added that the SFPUC is waiting to see what is decided regarding funding plan for phase two and the rest of the City. Scarpulla noted that the next big update will take place once the Office of Resilience and Capital Planning submits their plan to the BOS in December after which the BoS will discuss the funding options for the EFWS.

### *Discussion*

- **Chair Clary** asked if there was a process for the CAC to be notified when something was going to the Board because she was not aware of the hearing.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that the SFPUC could figure out a way for the Policy and Government team to share items with the CAC.
- **Member Jacuzzi** commented that Staff Scarpulla had previously shared a map that showed phase one of the pipeline being constructed. He then asked what avenue the line that goes up from Lake Merced to the Richmond is on.

- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that he does not have the exact north/south avenue, but the line comes around Lake Merced and then runs east/west of Sunset Boulevard in the Outer Sunset. He added that it then goes through Golden Gate Park all the way up to Veterans Hospital after which the pipeline heads east towards downtown, and then it starts to come back south towards the Sunset District. Scarpulla commented that the total project with phase one and phase two consists of about 15 miles of pipe with 10 of those 15 miles installed in phase one, and 5 miles in phase two.
- **Member Jacuzzi** asked if it had a branch to service Sea Cliff.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that it does run close to Sea Cliff, but he was not sure if it reached Sea Cliff after it ran to Veterans Hospital.
- **Member Jacuzzi** commented that the District 4 residents have contacted him to understand the project and express their concerns that Sea Cliff would not be covered. Jacuzzi then asked what the funding needs are for phase two.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that he knows what the cost was in 2021, but the cost escalates every year. He noted that the funding in 2021 was \$120 million to complete phase two and it is closer to \$200 million for phase one, so it is about \$80 million more in 2021 terms to do phase one and phase two with phase one being completely funded. Staff Scarpulla commented that it is hard to predict the cost if they decided to start in 2025 versus 2028 because of escalation. He added that the SFPUC brought up to the Board how the escalation rate has changed rapidly in the last nine months and stated that he hoped the huge increase would not continue. Staff Scarpulla noted again that in 2021 the cost was about \$120 million.
- **Member Jacuzzi** asked what the project total is by 2035.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that the amount was for the entire city build out if the SFPUC were to do every plan that they submitted to the Board in December. He added that the difference in cost was starting everything right away and building it out closer to \$2 billion or having a longer build out closer to \$4 billion. He noted that the financial plan from the Board will be interesting because the cost will completely change depending on how quickly they want to pay for the project.
- **Chair Clary** then shared page 4 from the same [presentation](#) and asked if the blue lines indicated what was currently being funded.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that everything that is solid is funded and everything that is dotted is phase two.
- **Chair Clary** asked if phase two for the west side included any of the improvements that residents in Districts 10 and 11 were looking for.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that it was not in the west side project. He then pointed to page 9 of the presentation, which showed Districts 10, 11, 7, 8, and others.
- **Chair Clary** asked which areas the SFPUC was doing because the colors on the map were not helpful.

- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that one is the existing system, two is anything in blue, and three through six are all in green.
- **Chair Clary** asked what was being funded currently and commented that nothing on the east side was being funded. Page 4 of the presentation was then shared.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that nothing on the east side was funded because the east side was not planned and the ESER bond voted in 2020 is what is funding the solid line. He noted that the question for the Board is what gets funded after the solid line gets funded and explained that it could be the dotted line on the west side or the green line along Districts 7, 11, and 10.
- **Chair Clary** asked if the next phase depended on the financial plan and the public safety analysis.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that it should be determined based on engineering and public safety, but politics is involved as well. He commented that the Board made a clear decision in 2020 that they wanted to fund the west side and the south and southeast. Staff Scarpulla added that the SFPUC's engineers and public safety folks would have an opinion, but it can be complicated and political.
- **Chair Clary** responded that she wanted an engineering response.
- **Staff Scarpulla** responded that the engineering response would be to finish phase two and finish the loop because there should not be an incomplete system with dead ends. He added that it would mean finishing phase 1, then phase 2 to then work on the south and southeast.
- **Chair Clary** commented that perhaps the CAC chairs could be notified whenever the SFPUC is testifying at the BoS so that the CAC does not miss anything that might be of concern to them.
- Public Comment: None

**7. Discussion: Water CAC FY 2022-2023 Priorities**, Jennifer Clary, Water CAC Chair

*Discussion*

- **Chair Clary** suggested updating the list of priorities from last year and noted that the first item is tracking the Bay Delta Plan and the Voluntary Settlement Agreement and asked if there had been any presentations on this topic in the past year.
- **Member Perszyk** responded there was a presentation last year.
- **Chair Clary** commented that Member Sandkulla and her have a fundamental disagreement on this topic, which might make it hard to pass a resolution about it. Chair Clary asked how the Committee might want to handle this moving forward.
- **Member Jacuzzi** responded that the Committee heard from Peter Drekmeier from the Tuolumne River Trust about the Bay Delta Plan and how the design drought affects our drawing down of Hetch Hetchy

water in terms of timing. He added that he thinks the Committee owes it to the Tuolumne River to take a close look at what Peter has been trying to say and what seems to be falling on deaf ears.

- **Chair Clary** commented that there are fundamental disagreements between the SFPUC and environmental organizations and reiterated that while the Voluntary Settlement Agreement has gained more sign-ons in the past few months, the agreement still lacks support from major environmental organizations. Chair Clary mentioned a recent court decision related to the 2014 drought, where the State Water Board revoked senior water rights during the worst of the drought, and she said the litigants prevailed over the State Water Board which is going to hamper the State Board's ability to protect rivers and drought.
- **Member Sandkulla** responded that Chair Clary is right that the litigants did win and one of them was San Francisco but noted that it was a very narrow piece of action that the State Board took in 2015. She said they didn't go through the correct due process at that time because they had never done it before. Member Sandkulla added that the State Board has gone through and imposed curtailments on senior water rights holders in a regulatory framework and said that is not being challenged but thinks it's not a landmark case limiting the State Boards. Sandkulla added that there were workshops that the PUC has held on to this topic that the CAC members could watch to understand what is going on.
- **Chair Clary** commented that there are a lot of people who have significant issues with the voluntary agreement and the CAC should weigh in on the matter.
- **Member Sandkulla** responded that she questions if they are engaged in what is current right now and added that the CAC should get caught up to speed about where things are now.
- **Chair Clary** responded that they could have Peter Drekmeier speak about the workshops but that the reason they are not up to speed is because they felt that environmental issues and concerns were not being appropriately considered in the process.
- **Member Sandkulla** responded that that she does not disagree with Chair Clary. She noted that she has sat through several meetings and workshops that the PUC facilitated, and the groups invited have been balanced but she added that it takes a lot of effort to get those discussions balanced.
- **Member Perszyk** commented that he does want to hear from the SFPUC and the Tuolumne River Trust and suggested scheduling a presentation from someone who is not affiliated and can provide an explanation on that from a scientific perspective.
- **Chair Clary** remarked that unaffiliated scientists do not exist.
- **Member Sandkulla** agreed with the Chair's remark and noted that this is the problem.
- **Chair Clary** commented that this is one of the biggest fights in California water and it can be challenging. She asked if the Committee could have a discussion or presentation on aridification because that

was the source of the Governor's water strategy last month. She added that the Governor released his climate plan known as the water strategy which repackaged stuff that was already being done, but promoted all types of water storage, including a couple of dams that are already on track to being built and the water strategy did not reference the environment at all. Chair Clary suggested that everyone think about aridification and increased evapotranspiration as it reduces river flows. Chair Clary then asked how to measure and plan for that. Chair Clary noted that in 2021 the Department of Water Resources (DWR) had snowpack estimates off by six hundred-thousand-acre feet in their predicted runoff and said that that threw off their water supply plans for the year.

**Member Sandkulla** responded that she thinks it is an important topic, and it is very timely with long-term planning and the current system we rely on.

- **Member Perszyk** responded that the Committee did hear about the aridification as part of the Long-Term Vulnerability Assessment, which included increased evapotranspiration rates and he suggested following up on that topic.
- **Member Jacuzzi** commented that even without change we are still in a climate zone region that is highly variable. Member Jacuzzi noted that we have long periods of drought in our history, but this is different because the size of the population has increased significantly. Member Jacuzzi commented natural resources are finite even without climate change. He said that we must understand that we need to bank better without destructing natural systems. Member Jacuzzi added that the area that has been identified as the best place to bank has been groundwater. Member Jacuzzi suggested asking what the pros and cons are, what are the differences in opinions are to try to identify where the subcommittee stands.
- **Chair Clary** responded that it is simply not feasible with the schedule. Chair Clary added there were six workshops over the last two years and each workshop was two to three hours long and the subcommittee cannot dedicate that much time to the topic. Chair Clary noted that the options are to hear the PUC and environmental advocates or to focus on the Long-Term Vulnerability Assessment and aridification. Chair Clary added that EWR is updating its climate models because climate change is coming faster and more dramatically than we thought.
- **Chair Clary** asked if the Bay Delta Plan and Voluntary Settlement Agreement are still priorities for the subcommittee members.

**Member Kott** and **Member Sandkulla** responded affirmatively.

- **Chair Clary** asked to change the wording of the priority item to state "increase our understanding of the Bay Delta Plan and the Voluntary Settlement Agreements".
- Increase our understanding of the Bay Delta Plan and the Voluntary Settlement Agreements was added to this priority list.
- **Member Sandkulla** commented that we should not only look at what they are but ask what the impact is and how that can help the CAC's understanding of this issue.

- **Chair Clary** suggested altering the first priority by adding “and their impact on our water resources and environment”.
- Increase our understanding of the Bay Delta Plan and the Voluntary Settlement Agreements and their impact on our water resources and environment replaced the previous first priority on the list.
- **Chair Clary** asked if the Water workshops were recorded.
- **Member Sandkulla** responded yes, and all the recordings are publicly available and asked staff to put together a list of the recordings or to ask her to provide that list. Member Sandkulla added that the workshops are a great resource and the SFPUC pulled together experts from both sides
- **Member Nagengast** noted that Water CAC has four to five meetings a year and suggested a strategy on how to elevate four of the priorities for the next year.
- **Chair Clary** commented that the members’ priorities are the subcommittee priorities.
- **Member Nagengast** responded that she can take the lead on the SFPUC Water and Sewer Rate Study.
- **Chair Clary** responded that the one thing she would say is that the Committee has always been told by the city attorney that rate determinations are not in our purview because there is a separate rate Committee.
- **Member Nagengast** responded that certainly there is a Rate Committee, but there are issues such as water conservation programming impact on rates that the CAC could hear about.
- **Chair Clary** commented that she’s been looking at water rates in terms of affordability and how rates should be designed to help low-income ratepayers. She added that there’s a lot of things we can talk about around rates if we’re allowed to do it. Chair Clary asked to put SFPUC Water Rate Charges on the priority list.
- SFPUC Water Rate Charges was added to the priority list.
- **Chair Clary** noted that Updates from the Water Quality Divisions should not be available next year. Chair Clary also noted she did not see groundwater on the priority list and asked Member Jacuzzi and Member Nagengast if that should be added as Member Nagengast had been trying to get better data transparency and Member Jacuzzi is promoting groundwater recharge on the west side.
- **Member Nagengast** responded that her answer is always going to be yes and that she would like to see an increased pumping rate.
- **Chair Clary** responded that the recycled water should come up next year and pumping will go up as they start diverting the Golden Gate groundwater.
- SF Groundwater was added to the list of priorities.



- **Member Kott** commented that the topic Encouraged Development of Alternatives Water Supplies is under the groundwater topic.
- **Member Perszyk** commented that the groundwater supply could be helped by increasing green infrastructure footprint in the City and adding watersheds. He noted that we can advocate for an increase in green infrastructure to give up a better groundwater supply.
- **Chair Clary** suggested scheduling a joint Water and Wastewater meeting about green infrastructure.
- **Member Jacuzzi** responded that the Committee talked about it but did not come to a definite conclusion on whether we would have a joint meeting or bring to the Full CAC. He noted that he's been an advocate from the beginning trying to bring wastewater and supply water people together for a while and notes that Member Clary brought up recycled water coming onboard in the summer of 2023 and he added that in that presentation there was a big question mark left from the presenter about what do with the four million gallons per day of recycled water and that there was simply not enough space to put it.
- **Chair Clary** asked staff to remove priority two "Educating Residents about the Need to Diversify Water Supply".
- Educating Residents about the Need to Diversify Water Supply was removed from the priority list.
- Encourage Development of Alternative Water Supplies was also removed from the priority list.
- **Chair Clary** commented that one thing the Committee can talk about regarding groundwater is quality community engagement and noted that one of the big problems with recycled water is that the aquifer is not big enough for traditional, direct, and indirect reuses which is what they call pumping your wastewater into the ground but the state is developing regulations for direct potable reuse where you treat the water and you put it in the pipes or you put in underground and that could be part of the SF Water groundwater project.
- **Member Perszyk** responded that he thinks it is good because it seems that the strategy is fixed as far as which projects are coming on and there is more opportunity with the groundwater project.
- **Chair Clary** commented that the subcommittee should think about San Diego and how they invest on alternative water supplies, which includes buying Colorado River water from the Imperial Irrigation District and building a desalination plant using recycled water. Chair Clary noted that they now have high rates and people have cut their water use by about fifty percent so there is no need for the extra supply they worked so hard to get. Chair Clary added that forecasting is important and that the Emergency Firefighting System Update might be required to be on the agenda on an annual basis.
- **Chair Clary** requested adding "Water Enterprise DEI (Diversity Equity Inclusion) Efforts" as a priority.

- Water Enterprise DEI (Diversity Equity Inclusion) Efforts was added to the list of priorities.
- **Chair Clary** asked if Drought and Water Conservation should stay on the priority list being that is mostly what has been discussed.
- **Member Jacuzzi** responded that it is implied in the Bay Delta Plan discussion and suggested it does not need to be a standalone item.
- **Chair Clary** commented that it is kind of a political question and asked the Members to reflect in terms of the Committee's priorities and think are we expressing ourselves in a way that is going to attract people to participate in the discussions.
- **Member Sandkulla** commented that she was at the Commission meeting and there was a point made about the regions not achieving its targets. Sandkulla noted that the Commission asked for water conservation and what actions are needed if the drought continues to achieve that increased rationing. Sandkulla suggested adding that to the subcommittee's schedule.

**Chair Clary** suggested to list "Drought" as a priority and she committed to consistently add drought as agenda items.

**Member Perszyk** responded that it could be phrase as additional actions that the SFPUC should take.

- **Chair Clary** noted that it is the subcommittee's responsibility to encourage our friends and neighbors to be more proactive.
- **Member Sandkulla** agreed that the Members have a strong responsibility to do that.
- **Member Jacuzzi** asked if the list needs to say "drought" or if water conservation would cover it because it seems like we are always in a drought.
- **Member Kott** responded that we are not always in a drought so it should be an overall theme. Member Kott noted that it is something we could approach every agenda.

**Chair Clary** suggested that we make Drought and Water Conservation the number one priority and then everything except for the emergency firefighting system is a subset of that.

- Drought and Water Conservation was changed to priority number 1, and beneath that Delta Bay is 1A, 1B is groundwater, and 1C is water rates. Priority number two was changed to Diversity, Equity Inclusion, and the third priority was changed emergency firefighting.
- **Member Jacuzzi** asked if the rates fall under e water and drought conservation if they are not drought charges.
- **Chair Clary** responded that because water rates are designed based on predicted water use, and, when there is a drought and conservation is required, a conservation charge will be added. She added that it is not based on usage and it might disproportionately impact ratepayers and the question is if there is a better way to design rates.

- **Member Kott** commented that she is curious about planning to meet the water needs of new housing developments.
- **Chair Clary** responded that this is part of the Urban Water Management Plan, and its already on the SFPUC's website. Chair Clary noted that we have been using less water for the last forty years as our population has increased and it is true that hardens demand the more efficient you are with water the more difficult it is to reduce your water use during drought. Chair Clary asked the question, how do we drought proof our water supply and make it more resilient to drought and what does resiliency mean. She noted that the one thing that hasn't been discussed is climate change and she thinks that it is a topic that is a subtopic under everything else. Chair Clary asked if there is a report coming up.
- **Staff Sa** responded that the Water Climate Action plan is scheduled for the next meeting.
- **Chair Clary** asked what topics the committee members would like to work on.
- **Member Perszyk** responded that he would like to focus green infrastructure and groundwater.
- **Member Jacuzzi** commented that he would like to focus on the Bay Delta Plan.
- **Member Kott** responded that she would like to focus on groundwater.
- **Chair Clary** noted that Member Nagengast is on the Wastewater Committee and asked if she wanted to work with Member Perszyk to figure out green infrastructure and groundwater.
- **Member Nagengast** responded affirmatively.
- **Member Sandkulla** responded that she would like to focus on Alternative Water Supply and reliability.
- Public Comment: None

## 8. Staff Report

- The CAC will continue to meet remotely until further notice from the Mayor's office.
- Full CAC Chair Garcia will be presenting the Annual Report to the Commission on October 11<sup>th</sup>, 2022.

Public Comment: None

## 9. Future Agenda Items and Resolutions

### *Standing Subjects*

- Groundwater
- Water Quality

### Specific Subjects

- Water Climate Action Plan – *November*
- Budget – *tentatively Nov*
- Capital Programs and Budget Changes – *tentatively Nov*
- Affordability - *confirmed for the Full CAC*
- Green Infrastructure - *tentatively WW Topic*
- Integrating Tribal Leaders into SFPUC Land Management Decisions
- State Board Water Rights
- Water Enterprise Environmental Stewardship Policy Implementation Report
- Debate about Bay Delta – Member Sandkulla suggested everyone watch the February 5, 2021, Commission workshop about the Voluntary Agreement
- COVID and Long-term Affordability Program
- Implementation of the Bay Delta Plan Flow Requirement
- Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Division Update
- State Policy and Programs on Affordability or Low-Income Rate Assistance (LIRA)
- Bay Delta Plan and voluntary settlement agreement
- Legislative Update
- State of the Regional Water System Report – Bi-annual report
- Drought resilience: 3-year water supply update
- Water Equity and Homelessness
- State of Local Water Report
- Retail Conservation Report
- Emergency Firefighting Water System Update
- Natural Resources and Land Management Division Update
- Harry Tracy Water Treatment Plant tour

#### Adopted Resolutions for Follow Up

- Resolution in Support of a Resilient Water Supply [adopted August 17, 2021](#)
- Resolution in Support of the Southern Skyline Boulevard Ridge Trail Extension Project [adopted April 20, 2021](#)
- Resolution in Support of Interim Emergency Rate Assistance Program and Revised Community Assistance Program [adopted July 21, 2020](#)
- Resolution in Support of Improved Communications Related to the San Francisco Groundwater Supply Project [adopted August 21, 2018](#)
- Resolution in Supporting Stewardship and Public Access in the Redeveloped Lake Merced West Property [adopted in March 15, 2016](#)
- Resolution on Impacts of Drought on System Maintenance and Improvements [adopted January 19, 2016](#)

**10. Announcements/Comments** Please visit [www.sfpuc.org/cac](http://www.sfpuc.org/cac) for final confirmation of the next scheduled meeting, agenda and materials.

#### **11. Adjournment**

Member Clary declared the meeting adjourned at 7:00 pm.  
Meeting was adjourned at 7:00 pm.