

**San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Citizens' Advisory Committee
Power Subcommittee**

MEETING MINUTES

**Tuesday, June 9, 2026
5:30 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.
525 Golden Gate Ave., 3rd Floor Tuolumne Conference Room**

Members of the public may observe and participate via Zoom virtual conference software.

Zoom Recording:

https://sfwater.zoom.us/rec/share/KQNFWNTKnV3Nh_njuy0PxsGAK73yPAF1ldVq6R7c0uy50TaUz8aZvkgWlIxTeaPj.YZqIUG_tgLu7GOL

Meeting Passcode:

728942

Mission: The Power Subcommittee shall review power generation and transmission system reliability and improvement programs, including but not limited to facilities siting and alternatives energy programs, as well as other relevant plans, programs, and policies
([Admin. Code Article XV, Sections 5.140 - 5.142](#)).

Members

Chair Cal Law (D1)

Lila Holzman (D5)

Sally Chen (D3)

Barklee Sanders (D6)

Julia Alicia Hernandez (D7)

Arthine Cossey van Duyne
(B-Environ. Justice)

D = District Supervisor appointed, M = Mayoral appointed, B = Board President appointed

Staff Liaisons: Lexus Moncrease and Lupita Garcia

Staff Email for Public Comment: cac@sfwater.org

ORDER OF BUSINESS

1. Call to order and roll call at 5:30 pm

Present (5): Law, Holzman, Chen, Sanders, Hernandez

Absent (1): Cossey van Duyne

Members of the Public: Yvette Rodriguez, Doug Jacuzzi

Staff/Presenters: Kieran Yater and Elizabeth Stampe

2. Approve [April 14, 2026](#), Minutes

A motion was made (Chen) and seconded (Holzman) to approve the April 14, 2026, Minutes.

The minutes were approved without objection.

Public Comment: None.

Daniel Lurie
Mayor

Joshua Arce
President

Stephen E. Leveroni
Vice President

Avni Jamdar
Commissioner

Kate H. Stacy
Commissioner

Meghan Thurlow
Commissioner

Dennis J. Herrera
General Manager



3. Report from the Chair

- Welcome members, staff, and the public
- Ohlone Tribal Land Acknowledgement
- **Welcome new subcommittee members**

Public Comment: None.

4. Public Comment: Members of the public may address the Committee on matters that are within the Committee's jurisdiction and are not on today's agenda (*2 minutes per speaker*)

Public Comment: None.

5. Presentation and Discussion: [Updating San Francisco's Climate Action Plan](#): Elizabeth Stampe, Senior Climate Action Coordinator, SF Department of Environment

- [Resource - San Francisco's Climate Action Plan](#)

Presentation:

- Updating San Francisco's Climate Action Plan
- What is the Climate Action Plan and why do we have it?
- Update Approach
- 2024 was the warmest year in modern times – and the first year above 1.5C
- Climate change impacts continue
- San Francisco's progress on emissions reductions
- 2022 San Francisco community-wide emissions
- Departments involved
- Process
- Targets
- San Francisco's 2026 Climate Action Plan
- 2026 Climate Action Plan Goals
- Public Engagement Process
- Public Engagement Theme: Removing Systemic Barriers
- Public Engagement Theme: Community-Led and Local Solutions
- Next Steps
- Thank you!

Discussion:

- **Member Hernandez** asked what the conversation around public interest in public power look like.

Staff Stampe responded it was not always a conversation since they received written comments and there is a lot of interest in public power, especially since it is an avenue to reduce electricity rates and create more transparency on how rates are set.

- **Member Sanders** asked if there are thoughts of creating a city-wide outage tracker.

Staff Stampe responded this idea had not come up before and asked if Member Sanders is suggesting it be tracked by location.

Member Sanders responded yes and commented Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) caused City-wide outages and Treasure Island also experienced record-high power outages last year and if the City wants to provide public power it would be good to

track how many City-wide outages are experienced and asked if some of the events and outreach were within the City and if they ever went out to Treasure Island to get input from residences powered by the City.

Staff Stampe responded there was not a physical event in Treasure Island but there were a lot of ways to give comments online.

Member Sanders asked if the physical events took place per district.

Staff Stampe responded no.

- **Member Sanders** asked how the solar and battery presentation got decided on.

Staff Stampe responded it was people expressing interest in the topic.

- **Member Holzman** commented part of the strategy for energy supply supports SFPUC taking over assets from PG&E and asked what the thought was behind including this as part of the plan.

Staff Stampe responded this is an excellent segway to the next presentation.

- **Member Holzman** asked how the Department of Environment's budget has impacted the Climate Action Plan given that there were requests to make cuts to the budget.

Staff Stampe responded the Department of Environment's budget is lower now, but the climate team is funded through the next year. The Environment Department only does some of the action and works on accountability and reporting, but the actions are owned by the departments and agencies across the City. Currently, we are undergoing a high-level scan of accounting to see what actions are funded, partially funded and what is not funded.

- **Chair Law** asked is the graph just the City and County itself and if it includes cars.

Staff Stampe responded it is not just municipal emission; it is community wide so cars are included.

- **Chair Law** asked if an example can be given for specific actions.

Staff Stampe responded that the first action in the transportation sector includes funding public transit, and the Healthy Ecosystem Sectors has an action similar to fund staff to maintain and conserve biodiversity and greening in the city. The energy is to increase super green electricity sales to 20% of overall CleanPowerSF electrify by 2027.

- **Chair Law** asked if a copy of the plan can be distributed.

Staff Stampe responded yes, it is available online at www.sfenvironment.org/climateplan.

- **Chair Law** asked what happens if we do not achieve the goals by the deadline we set.

Staff Stampe responded if we do not meet all of our actions, we may not meet our emissions goal and we may not reduce our emissions enough and it will be up to City leadership to course correct. This is why we are trying to have more frequent updates on how we are doing.

Public Comment: None.

6. Presentation and Discussion: [Power Enterprise Engagement Plan on Climate Action Plan](#), Kieran Yater, Utility Analyst, Regulatory and Legislative Affairs SFPUC,

Presentation:

- Climate Action Plan SFPUC Power Enterprise
- Agenda
- Energy Supply
- Buildings
- Transportation
- Questions?
- Reference Slides: Power
- The SFPUC is San Francisco's local, clean electricity provider
- SFPUC provides over 75% of the electricity consumed in San Francisco today
- How We Serve Our Power Customers

Discussion:

- **Member Hernandez** commented there seems to be a lot of focus on the development of workforce capacity to be able to deliver the goals and asked what the strategy currently looks like and if there is collaboration with the unions.

Staff Yater asked this is a great question and it is not his area of expertise and will need to check with staff to come back with a follow up.

- **Member Holzman** asked in terms of the transition to renewable energy is the San Francisco International Airport (SFO) and airplanes included.

Staff Yater responded this was not top of mind.

Staff Stampe further responded that the entire economy goes zero emission to reach our goals. SFO in the Climate Action Plan has some goals around using sustainable aviation fuel but right now are not counting jet fuel as part of this.

- **Member Holzman** commented that on some of the key actions there was the phrasing of "100% of renewable electricity through regulation and/or PG&E acquisition" and asked what regulations would look like versus PG&E acquisition.

Staff Yater responded the focus on regulations was direct access customers who do not receive electricity from us nor PG&E, and this is focused on the ordinance that SF Environment passed which compels those direct access customers to become zero emission.

- **Member Sanders** commented in the overall plan, it is mentioned San Francisco is less likely to suffer blackouts and discusses adding batteries to the library and since Treasure Island has over 500 outages and experienced and 30 last year which is

about 1 outage every 19 days which is more than PG&E experiences and asked how the data was collected and analyzed to determine it would suffer less blackouts.

Staff Yater responded this was discussing public safety power shutoffs which are more wildfire related events, and it is because our system would be within the City compared to PG&E's which is very broad throughout the entire Northern California Region which is more exposed to wildfire risk.

Member Sanders commented Treasure Island is fed through the Port of Oakland and when there was a planned power shutoff, it was negotiated to not turn off Treasure Island because they already experience too many power outages and this may be a blind spot by design to not discuss and asked why Treasure Island is not considered part San Francisco and the plan when legally it is and trying to create more transparency.

Staff Yater responded he does not know specifically where this sentence originated, and it may have been in the 2021 Climate Action Plan that was carried over. Overall, the City as a whole is less likely to suffer from public safety power shutoffs compared to PG&E.

Staff Stampe further responded she found the sentence in the Climate Action Plan, and it specifically discusses public safety power shutoffs and wildfires.

Member Sanders commented there is a lot of stuff on Treasure Island but does not mention the power outages and it is surprising since he has brought the issue up for the past six years.

- **Member Chen** asked what the timeline is for Senate Bill 1221 (SB1221) and what it includes.

Staff Yater responded SB 1221 passed in 2024 and is currently in implementation at the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and essentially what it is aiming to do is identifying old and ageing gas infrastructure that is due for replacement and rather than replace it, you install a zero emission alternative like heat pumps, induction stoves, and decommission the pipeline to not bring back gas service to the area. The other benefit is you can redirect the funding towards the upfront costs of electrification because it solves a big issue because upfront costs of electrification can be more expensive than the gas alternative.

- **Member Chen** asked if the pilot was more catered towards city-owned property.
- **Staff Yater** responded there is currently no official pilot and the CPUC is establishing program guidelines and have issued a proposed decision on the guidelines two weeks ago and will be officially implemented on July 2nd. SFPUC has been in conversations with PG&E about a pilot and PG&E has expressed interest in working together.

Chair Law commented at the last Full CAC meeting, a public commenter recommended if public power moves forward to use some of the funds to help rate payers pay the upfront costs of electrifying.

- **Member Sanders** asked where does the money come from for credits and if it is rate payers or if it is through bonds.

Staff Yater responded if Member Sanders is referring to bill credits, then it is through rate payer funds from the program's budget.

- **Member Sanders** asked if rate payer funds will be used for the library's battery installation.

Staff Yater responded he believes these are funded through low carbon fuel credits (LCFS).

- **Chair Law** asked what the difference between renewable energy and renewable electricity is.

Staff Yater responded that renewable electricity is making sure the ways in which you are generating the electricity are renewable like solar, wind, storing and using batteries. The energy is more focused on the end-uses like the appliances. For example, a gas stove does not use much electricity since you are mostly burning fossil fuels and switching to an all-electric appliance would be getting rid of the energy portion and making sure the electricity is serving the appliance is also 100% renewable.

Member Holzman commented SFPUC does not have jurisdiction since SFPUC does not include gas as a utility that it covers and having a goal to transition to 100% renewable energy when this is outside of its jurisdiction and by electrifying these appliances it becomes SFPUC's jurisdictions and asked how this translates to the 100% renewable energy target.

Staff Yater responded this is a challenge because it does not mean you do not pursue the goal.

Staff Stampe further responded this is the situation with the entire plan since it is trying to get the entire City to zero emission by 2040 and, even though SFPUC doesn't have jurisdiction there is work SFPUC can do.

Public Comment: None.

7. Staff report

- Full CAC meeting next week where The SF Estuary Institute will be presenting.

Public Comment: None.

8. Future Agenda Items and Resolutions

- IEPR from the CEC
- TI Resolution Report back
- Bayview Power
- Emergency Preparedness
- Power Enterprise Training
- Legislative Update – Federal and State
- Electrification: San Francisco Climate Action Plan
- Municipalization: Interconnection, FERC Order 568, CCSF Purchase Offer
- Electric Rates & Equity
- Power Enterprise Residential & Commercial Power Programs: Heat Pumps, CAP

- California Community Choice Aggregation Residential & Commercial Power Programs
- Redevelopment Projects: Hunter's Point Shipyard & Treasure Island
- Time-of-Use Rates Update
- Reliability: Wildfires and Public Safety Power Shutoffs

Adopted Resolutions for Follow Up

- Resolution Recommending that the SFPUC Commission Reverses its Position on the "Not to Exceed Rates" for CleanPowerSF, Move Forward with this Important Program, and Allow Staff to Move Forward with its Launch [adopted September 16, 2014](#)
- Resolution in Support of SB 612 Electrical Corporations and other Load-Serving Entities [adopted on July 20, 2021](#)
- Resolution in Supporting of the Transition of CleanPowerSF Residential Customers to Time-of-Use Rates [adopted on July 20, 2021](#)

Public Comment: None.

- 9. Announcements/Comments** Visit www.sfpuc.gov/cac for confirmation of the next scheduled meeting, agenda, and materials.

Public Comment: None.

10. Adjournment at 6:39 pm

For more information concerning the agendas, minutes, and meeting information, please visit sfpuc.gov/cac. For more information concerning the CAC, please contact by email at cac@sfwater.org or by calling (415) 517-8465.

Disability Access

The following services are available on request 48 hours prior to the meeting; except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline shall be 4:00 p.m. of the last business day of the preceding week: For American sign language interpreters or the use of a reader during a meeting, a sound enhancement system, and/or alternative formats of the agenda and minutes, please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465 or our TTY at (415) 554-3488 to make arrangements for the accommodation. Late requests will be honored, if possible.

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals. Individuals with chemical sensitivity or related disabilities should call our accessibility hotline at (415) 554-6789.

LANGUAGE ACCESS

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Committee. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, or cac@sfwater.org at least 48 hours in advance of the hearing. Late requests will be honored if possible.

語言服務

根據三藩市行政法第91章"語言服務條例", 中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語口譯服務在有人提出要求後會提供。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會後要求提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也可提供。請於會議前至

少48小時致電((415) 517-8465或電郵至[cac@sfgov.org] Lexus Moncrease 提出口譯要求。逾期要求，在可能狀況下會被考慮。

ACCESO A IDIOMAS

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas “Language Access Ordinance” (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco “Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code”) intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Los minutos podrán ser traducidos, de ser requeridos, luego de ser aprobados por la comité. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con Lexus Moncrease al (415) 517-8465, o cac@sfgov.org por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

PAG-ACCESS SA WIKA

Ayon sa Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 ng San Francisco Administrative Code), maaaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin sa wikang Tsino, Espanyol, at/o Filipino (Tagalog). Kapag hiniling, ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komite. Maari din magkaroon ng tulong sa ibang wika. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyaring tumawag sa Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, o cac@sfgov.org sa hindi bababa sa 48 oras bago mag miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng pagbibigyan.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code §2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102, Phone: (415) 252-3100/Fax: (415) 252-3112, Email: ethics.commission@sfgov.org.

Know your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4683; by telephone 415-554-7724, by Fax 415-554-7854, or by email: sotf@sfgov.org

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.