



San Francisco Water Power Sewer

Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Citizens' Advisory Committee

525 Golden Gate Avenue, 13th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
T 415.554.3155
F 415.554.3161
TTY 415.554.3488

MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, August 19, 2025

5:30 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.

525 Golden Gate Ave., 3rd Floor Tuolumne Conference Room

**MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY OBSERVE AND PARTICIPATE VIA ZOOM
VIRTUAL CONFERENCE SOFTWARE**

Meeting Recording URL

<https://sfwater.zoom.us/rec/share/V1q2G5R5g3lShkJ2FHeFzmgd-YCPK0fK-TYE30hDktVBVJ8OCp4rH7Dy5yfnNeA.cYwEcl7TEJrd4Bmg>

Meeting Recording Passcode

465089

Mission: The purpose of the SFPUC CAC is to provide recommendations to the SFPUC General Manager, the SFPUC Commission, and the Board of Supervisors regarding the agency's long-term strategic, financial, and capital improvement plans ([Admin. Code Article XV, Sections 5.140 - 5.142](#))

Members:

Eliahu Perszyk Vice Chair (M-Large Water User)

Cal Law (D1)

Erin Roach (D2)

Sally Chen (D3)

Douglas Jacuzzi (D4)

Scott Brown (D5)

Barklee Sanders (D6)

Julia Alicia Hernandez (D7)

Amy Nagengast (D8)

Aaron Hebert (D9)

VACANT (D10)

Jennifer Clary (D11)

Maika Pinkston (M-Environmental Org.)

Thomas Smegal (M-Regional Water Customers)

Jodi Soboll (M-Engineering/Financial)

Andrea Baker (B-Small Business)

VACANT (B-Environ. Justice)

D = District Supervisor appointed, M = Mayor appointed, B = Board President appointed

Staff Liaisons: Lexus Moncrease and Lupita Garcia

Staff Email for Public Comment: cac@sfwater.org

ORDER OF BUSINESS

1. Call to Order and Roll Call at 5:34 pm

Present (10): Perszyk, Law, Roach, Chen, Sanders, Hernandez, Nagengast, Hebert, Clary, Soboll

Absent (4): Jacuzzi, Brown, Pinkston, Smegal

Staff/Presenters: Commissioner Jamdar

2. Approve July 15, 2025 Minutes

Daniel L. Lurie
Mayor

Kate H. Stacy
President

Joshua Arce
Vice President

Avni Jamdar
Commissioner

Steve Leveroni
Commissioner

Meghan Thurlow
Commissioner

Dennis J. Herrera
General Manager

OUR MISSION: To provide our customers with high-quality, efficient, and reliable water, power and sewer services in a manner that values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted to our care.



A motion was made (Clary) and seconded (Hebert) to approve the July 15, 2025 minutes.

The minutes were approved without objection.

Public Comment: None.

3. Report from the Chair

- Welcome members, staff, and the public
- Ohlone Tribal Land Acknowledgement
- Welcome new CAC members

Public Comment: None.

4. Public Comment: Members of the public may address the Committee on matters that are within the Committee's jurisdiction and are not on today's agenda.

Public Comment: None.

5. Discussion: Commissioner Visit, SFPUC Commissioner Avni Jamdar

- *Resources*
 - [Our Sewer System and Storms](#)
- **Chair Perszyk** thanked Commissioner Jamdar for attending tonight's meeting and asked for Commissioner Jamdar to introduce herself.

Commissioner Jamdar introduced herself as the Environmental Seat on the Commission and has sat on the Commission for 9 months now. She also served on the SFPUC Citizen's Advisory Committee (CAC) in 2012 and was on the Power Subcommittee who passed the resolution for CleanPowerSF to be setup and did a lot of advocacy work for establishing Community Choice Aggregations (CCAs) across the Bay Area and California. In her day-job, she works for a non-profit called Emerald City Collaborative working on environmental justice issues in the climate sector with a racial and social justice lens. A combination of both her day job and advocacy work has made her an expert in Power but not in Water or Wastewater so she has been briefed by staff and sees her role as a listener and a conduit for folks in the City to be represented at the Commission which is what motivated her to accept the position as Commissioner.

- **Member Soboll** asked before jumping into the questions the CAC prepared, what the Commission is and how she became a commissioner.

Commissioner Jamdar responded the Commission is a 5-member body overseeing the work of the SFPUC, sets policy, approves contracts, and has the authority over all the financial decisions that the agency makes. She was appointed by former Mayor London Breed just before the election and is a volunteer member, she is not paid to serve on the Commission. Most of the Commission is relatively new with four new members joining within the last year: Commissioners Arce, and Leveroni started alongside Commissioner Jamdar and Commissioner Thurlow joined last month.

- **Question 1** What are your priorities for your service on the Commission, and in what ways do you see that the CAC and the SFPUC Commission can better collaborate and communicate?

Commissioner Jamdar responded the San Francisco Climate Action Plan which is currently being updated and all three enterprises are a part of the goals and is very excited to see what the agency can deliver in the vision of the Climate Action Plan going forward. She is also interested in inclusive procurement which are part of our contracts and is curious to see how we have hyper-local contractors, especially minority, women and disadvantaged contractors upskilled and benefiting from the work that is generated at this agency. Environment Justice zones that Community Benefits is working on is something she finds exciting and the SFPUC has come a long way in the last few years and is happy to see a dashboard on the website that reflects benefits to communities particularly Bayview Hunters Point. Her biggest priority is building decarbonization advocacy and implementation where right now the Bay Area Air District is in the process of passing zero- emission for nitrogen oxide (NOx) Rules 9-4 and 9-6 which will phase out gas-powered water and space heaters in the next 3 to 5 years. CleanPowerSF is also a priority including offering more incentives to low-income San Franciscans to decarbonize their homes. We have a Climate Equity Hub that she helped advocate to set up with SF Environment and wants to amplify the Climate Equity Hub to get minority, women and disadvantaged contractors getting on-ramped into this field which as an example would be decarbonizing the housing stock in San Francisco. Affordability is also a priority and is interested to know how utility rates impact households. When it comes to the CAC and the SFPUC Commission better collaborating, these meetings are a great start.

- **Member Baker** asked when Commissioner Jamdar was on the CAC, how did they collaborate with the Commission.

Commissioner Jamdar responded they did not have access to the Commission.

- **Member Sanders** asked if Commissioners are required to respond to CAC members about anything they ask.

Commissioner Jamdar responded if they think it is appropriate to respond, they will.

- **Question 2** What steps is the Commission taking to improve transparency and public engagement?

Commissioner Jamdar responded every Commission agenda item has a public comment component, there is general public comment and the opportunity to provide public comment after every item is discussed so you can provide feedback and ask questions in that specific section and urges if members to participate in public comment. They may also write into the Commission because every

communication that is directed to Commissioners are forwarded to them.

Member Soboll asked how the Commission get updates from the SFPUC and if she feels good about the responses coming from staff.

Commissioner Jamdar responded if there is a letter from the public directed to the Commissioners, they are provided a copy of it. In terms of general knowledge about issues or policies and projects, they are briefed, and this comes in the form of in-person briefings, site visits, and asking staff questions and she feels good about the responses being provided. The Commission receives a lot of confidential and legally sensitive updates which they cannot speak about publicly.

Member Clary commented one difficulty with transparency at the SFPUC is the Commission meets at the same time as dozens of other commissions and committees and asked when briefed on an issue is there a communication strategy for informing the public.

Commissioner Jamdar responded yes, and we are aware there are topics that are more of interests to folks than others.

Member Soboll asked how the information shared with the public if it is determined more people should know about this topic.

Commissioner Jamdar responded that is something she is not aware of.

Member Nagengast commented a previous commissioner suggested and recorded good workshops on various topics that are posed and are separate from a commission meeting and these were specifically asked and ranged from a 101 topic to an update on a topic. The workshops were great and recorded so they can be shared.

Commissioner Jamdar responded commissioners can initiate some efforts and she would like to have a briefing on the Climate Action Plan and recently, she asked several folks to come talk about that and give public comment so those requests can be made. These requests are not endless and play a role they fulfill on the Commission for example if it's a rate issue Commissioner Arce would be the point person and so on. Overall, Commissioner Jamdar would like to be in better sync with the CAC because this is the most available and organized feedback loop to the Commissioners from the public and is interested to hear what CAC members think.

Member Soboll commented in the past couple of years, the CAC has had a lot of member turnover and so there are a lot of new members who are getting educated on a lot of issues and there are a few that they are trying to tackle as individuals and came to the CAC to advocate for those issues. Part of the reason we are interested in transparency and learning is because we are still forming and learning and appreciate Commissioners Arce and Jamdar visiting.

Commissioner Jamdar responded she understands since she is also still learning.

Chair Perszyk commented Commissioner Jamdar's perspective on the CAC is helpful for them to understand their role.

- **Question 3** As the current SFPUC approach to Tuolumne River in-stream flows in drought years is insufficient to support salmon populations throughout the year, and strategies of habitat restoration and predator control are limited in capacity to support these populations, how can SFPUC identify a new approach that will increase in-stream flows in drought years?

Chair Perszyk commented this is a big issue with the SFPUC and the State wants more water to be left in the river which is referred to as the in-stream flows and the issue is the salmon populations are extremely low, and it is not just a single time in the year that the low water is an issue because it's the whole life cycle of the salmon throughout the year and having enough water throughout the year to support the salmon's lifecycle.

Commissioner Jamdar responded this issue has been highlighted often in Commission meetings by the Tuolumne River Trust and the Commission has asked some questions to which they were directed to the Healthy Rivers and Landscapes program that the PUC has developed with the Turlock and Industrial Irrigation Districts. It is her understanding that together can put a comprehensive approach that includes both flow and non-flow measures to enhance the salmon habitat and survival. On the lower-Tuolumne scientific studies support that this program will provide improved benefits compared to the current management and State's water board flow-only approach.

Member Clary commented this was renamed last year because it was called the Voluntary Agreements for 6 years, but no environmental group would sign on to it because it did not actually look like a voluntary agreement. In 2009, there was a big water deal under Governor Schwarzenegger where the State Water Board was required to set minimum flows for Delta tributaries and that includes the Tuolumne River and they set minimum flows to 40 to 60% The scientific recommendation was 60% and they were told they could do 40% which is still too much because in a drought year, losing that delta between 10% and 40% is stressful for the diverters upstream. Governor Brown then set upon a voluntary agreement negotiation which about a half dozen environmental groups were a part of and all dropped out because the question is an unproven proposal that habitat equals flow so you don't need more water if you have more habitat and the idea is it is going to happen faster because there won't be litigation and we will use adaptive management to determine if we actually need more flows and asked since the SFPUC has a strong relationship with the Turlock and Modesto Irrigation Districts why have they not implemented the Voluntary Agreement since they submitted it to the Water Board in 2018 and if it is something they believe in, it should just be implemented.

Commissioner Jamdar thanked Member Clary for providing more background on the issue.

- **Question 4** Green Infrastructure has been of interest within CAC for a long time, but scaling the program internally inside SFPUC's capital and operation projects has been a challenge. Thoughts on how to advance green infrastructure implementation? Which environmental areas is SFPUC leading, and what is the highest priority for improvement?

Member Nagengast commented Green Infrastructure is a big topic which is both like a function and philosophy. While there are grant programs, the agency has put gray infrastructure first and green infrastructure comes second and green infrastructure has a lot of benefits like visual, health, air quality but it's hard to do with SFPUC's remit because other organizations are needed to collaborate to have the space to do green infrastructure and the purpose of the question is to get Commissioner Jamdar's perspective on green infrastructure and how do we scale that but also how can we deliver public services and goods and asked how is the SFPUC trying to collaborate with different departments and what types of organizations. Focusing more on Public-scale green infrastructure, one example that didn't make it through was the Islais Creek project where the problem was flooding in District 10 and one possible solution was a pipe and another was the watershed and looking at ways we could deploy green infrastructure like curb cutouts and changing the road structure so we're alleviating traffic and providing a reduction in floods. The Islais Creek project is on SFPUC project where they did an amazing job bringing a lot of people together, doing great studies, outreach and community benefits. In her opinion, it is a showstopper. This project went to the Regional Water Board, and they said no because the duration that it would take to implement it was longer than the grey infrastructure part.

Commissioner Jamdar responded this fits into the Climate Action Plan process and we need more interagency collaboration to get work done because it is very siloed. There are agencies who oversee work they have no control over because they don't have resources but they want to do good work and we have agencies who have resources but that is not their jobs. The Commission recently got a briefing on current green infrastructure and the pilot program for homes to put in green infrastructure in their backyards which the budget for this is expiring soon.

Member Clary commented as the District 11 representative, they have the most flooding in the Islais Creek watershed because Cayuga which was the former Cayuga creek floods significantly and there was a discussion back in 2002 or 2003 of repurposing a tunnel that goes under Interstate 280 for stormwater management. SFPUC is planning for 100-year flood and projects are based on 5-year flood plans which do not account for atmospheric rivers and asked if SFPUC can provide an additional level of service through Green Infrastructure and because of San Francisco's hills you have to do this on a watershed basis because the problem is the water gets to the lowlands so quickly and Islais creek is a great example because it's the largest watershed in the City. One thing about the Climate Action Plan partnering with the SF Environment is Tyrone Jue worked at the SFPUC for 20 years and one thing to think about is sitting down with Tyrone to figure out how to create more of a collaborative environment.

Commissioner Jamdar responded she talks to Tyrone a lot about the issues and is happy to raise it to him.

- **Question 5** As you're probably aware, certain areas of the Marina and Cow Hollow have experienced significant flooding within the last few years. How do the issues District 2 is experiencing fit into your overall priorities for Environmental Justice and Climate Resilience?
 - How can we work together to advocate for a solution to increased flooding in our neighborhoods?

Commissioner Jamdar responded she was directed to point out that the SFPUC has many capital projects and plans to mitigate flood risk and the SFPUC "Our Sewer System and Storms" webpage has information about how our system works when flooding occurs, what projects are happening and what the big picture plan for climate change in San Francisco is. There are some resources available to property owners such as the flood water grants.

Member Roach asked what the projects and plans are specifically in the Marina.

Commissioner Jamdar responded she is not aware of them and is not an expert on this.

Chair Perszyk commented there are particularities about where the elevation of the neighborhood and where it is located can lead to more issues such as the Mission used to be Mission Bay.

Member Clary further commented some neighborhoods experience more flooding because of the low outfall and they had to shut it off which means wastewater backs up. The big outfalls are at Mission Creek, Islais Creek and Yosemite Creek and the lowest elevation is around Fisherman's Wharf and the Marina where the issue that is going to occur throughout the entire shoreline is you've depended on a baffle to handle your outflow and as the sea level rises you will lose access to those and if you are going to close a baffle you need to open a pump station and asked why SFPUC has not done this and it should be included in their capital plan.

Member Roach asked what a baffle is.

Member Clary commented baffles allow the water in the moat to flow out but not allow sea water to flow in since the seawater kills the critters in the digesters.

- **Member Chen** commented she works for a nonprofit called Livable City and put on Sunday Streets and one of the roles they have is serve as a conduit for City departments to do outreach in specific neighborhoods and regularly SFPUC will agree to do outreach, however, once a rate that is consistently set for all City departments is presented the SFPUC backs out even though it is a revenue-generated City department. There has been some outreach done for floodwater management from SFPUC contracted firms like Davis Impact for various projects, but this experience has been frustrating since the

nonprofit would love to facilitate in-person outreach to the public who can not normally be reached by the internet. If there are resources available for homeowners, then investing in outreach for people to know about these resources would be helpful.

Commissioner Jamdar thanked Member Chen for her comment and would take this back as feedback.

- **Question 6** The Full CAC passed a resolution [REGARDING EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATIONS AND POWER OUTAGES ON TREASURE ISLAND](#) to address the ongoing power outages on Treasure Island. The resolution urges the Commission and Board of Supervisors to push TIDA towards a full inspection of the grid on TI, and to work with TIDA to find the funding to upgrade the grid. Commissioner Arce visited the CAC, and followed up on the matter by communicating that two new express electrical feeders are being connected to the legacy housing area this calendar year. The electrical system in the legacy housing area is well past its useful life, and the SFPUC's position on the legacy housing area is that the cost for new electrical distribution would be in the tens of millions, and the area is slated for redevelopment in the next decade. The CAC has asked if a preventative maintenance approach can be taken, instead of a reactive maintenance approach.
 - Do you share the SFPUC's position on the legacy housing area, and do you think anything more can be done to improve electrical reliability for legacy Treasure Island residents?
 - Is it within the capacity and procedures of SFPUC and TIDA jointly release, without any Public Records request, an outage report after every Treasure Island incident that (a) states the root cause, (b) details total repair cost (labor + materials), (c) lists follow-up preventative actions, and (d) includes all SFPUC outage documents already in hand and publish them on TIDA website or SFGOV website and or provide it to SFPUC CAC. Would a monthly report be possible if an outage report after every incident is not feasible?

Chair Perszyk commented the CAC passed a resolution and in February, Commissioner Arce visited and there seemed to be a step taken from his visit. The issue with the electrical outages is the systems are well past their useful life and we understand there has been problem throughout the legacy area, specifically residential area. From Commissioner Arce's report there is a project to bring new transmission lines to the legacy housing area, but the issue is there is no plan to address the electrical issues in the legacy housing area. The SFPUC is saying it would be tens of millions of dollars for an area that is slated for redevelopment and one thing the CAC has asked for is for a preventative maintenance approach to be taken rather than a reactive approach.

Member Soboll further commented low-income people living in the legacy housing experience many more outages and thanks to Member Sanders advocacy it has become less but currently when things break, band-aid solutions are used and these outages affect their livelihood, health and so forth. We know Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) pays the SFPUC to do maintenance and are hoping the SFPUC

could look into the maintenance records to look at what is breaking and at what point to fix them before they break because TIDA is not responding and the agreements in place SFPUC can't do everything and there is this large maintenance budget around \$15 million that TIDA has dedicated for legacy housing and anything we can do to help get the residents some preventative work would make a big difference in their lives.

Member Sanders further commented that the outages have not gone down but rather they have just been resolved quicker since there is more visibility.

Commissioner Jamdar thanked members for raising this issue and responded this issue has been on her radar. She is not very informed about this but did ask for a response and in Commissioner Arce's visit, he shared that traditional connections are being implemented this year so that should improve the outages.

Member Soboll commented this is an unknown because we don't know where the failure and connection points are. The CAC has asked for SFPUC engineers to look at the maintenance records for the past 5 to 10 years and give a report as to where the failures are and then we would know better if what they are saying will be fixing the issue will be addressing the failures.

Chair Perszyk further commented TIDA responded they are not going to invest in the legacy housing area.

Member Sanders further commented without an emergency declaration and overriding Bob Beck, nothing will be done for legacy housing. Treasure Island (TI) was split in half by a power line to provide power to the new development and two years later it was taken underground so analysis reports are done when they want to do upgrades. No one wants people to live on Treasure Island which is why they are not solving the problem. Site 12 has purposely been carved out to not fall under the new development rules so that SFPUC funds in the case there is an outage but if there is an outage in the new developments or even just across the street, SFPUC funds can be used. A land transfer happened last year, and Site 12 was purposely excluded by design so that the City isn't financially responsible. None of this was accidental. He is 31 years old and if he had lived on TI since it was transferred to the City, he would experience at least 800 power outages and does not understand why no one wants to declare an emergency.

Commissioner Jamdar responded the Commission has not taken a position on the legacy housing area. She does not represent the Commission, she represents herself and she does not have enough information to take a position, more research is needed, and she needs to ask more questions. She did read that the SFPUC provided TIDA with a legacy distribution system overhead replacement estimate in January 2025 for about \$3.4 million dollars and it is currently under TIDA's consideration and asked if this is what is being asked.

Member Sanders commented TIDA decided to not move forward with this and only allot at most about \$200,000 on maintenance. In a public records request, a document from 1997 states that they will not do preventative maintenance and will only do medium maintenance if someone like the Mayor, Board of Supervisors or SFPUC heavily pushes for this. The funds they say they have available is for the new developments on TI.

Member Soboll asked if Commissioner Jamdar could partner with Commissioner Arce and together get some concrete information so that if they have \$15 million that they are supposed to be spending on the legacy housing, to get them to spend it as they should be which on preventative maintenance and since SFPUC is the contractor for preventative maintenance if they could have some influence.

Commissioner Jamdar asked if the \$15 million being referenced is bond money.

Member Sanders commented there are two bonds, one is \$15 million that is supposed to be for maintenance and upgrades and there is another one for infrastructure for \$115 million composed of Certificate of Participation (COPP) bonds and that in 2017, SFPUC declared an emergency under file number 170649, Resolution 307-17 under Administrative Code 215(c) for the replacement of two generators that serve Yerba Buena and Treasure Island in the case of significant power loss that cost \$1.6 billion dollars and it was signed by Ben Rosenthal, the Controller and Harlan Kelly, the SFPUC General Manager and asked if the Commission were to make the decision to ask the Board of Supervisors declare an emergency, would they not be able to because the Administrative Code is outdated.

Member Soboll further commented the bigger one is not set aside for legacy housing. The CAC has asked for a detailed breakdown of how the funds are spent and TIDA can not claim that they have spent all the funds since \$15 million dollars' worth of maintenance has not been done. SFPUC has done the work and they should be able to provide a financial estimate of what was spent fixing in the legacy housing area and TIDA should also be able to and how much is left. Member Sanders raises a good point with the emergency declaration and when the emergency declaration occurred, a financial analysis was done which clearly outlined what was going to be spent, how it is to be spent and how effective it will be and the CAC would like a financial analysis to be done to make the strongest argument possible and they believe a preventative model would be less expensive than the current maintenance model.

Commissioner Jamdar responded SFPUC staff understand TIDA is not agreeing to investing on the legacy housing and it is clear to move forward, TIDA needs to approve, and they are not. Regarding the emergency, declaration she does know the answer.

Chair Perszyk asked what some potential next steps are for this issue.

Commissioner Jamdar responded she can provide this feedback for staff and ask for some answers. Regarding the monthly reporting, SFPUC is stating TIDA needs to ask for this and pay for it.

Member Clary commented everyone on the CAC feel strongly where people are losing power about 3 times a month, it needs to be addressed and stating that it is TIDA's fault is not sufficient, and we should be pursuing every possible avenue and the SFPUC should push their City Attorney to find a way to address human health and safety.

Member Soboll further commented there must be a level of responsibility to provide power for human health and safety.

Member Sanders further commented this is a sad and frustrating situation. When the Bay Bridge lights leading to Treasure Island went out, the City raised \$13 million to turn them on but could not do this for one of the poorest communities in California.

Commissioner Jamdar asked if these issues have been presented to the General Manager.

Staff Moncrease responded when a resolution is passed, a copy is sent to the General Manager, the Commission, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor.

Member Clary commented a lot of communication has been done and until something is done, the CAC will continue to advocate about this issue because it is institutional racism and allowing it to continue is a problem.

Member Sanders left at 6:50 pm. Quorum maintained.

Commissioner Jamdar responded this sounds like an advocacy issue for a City-wide issue that transcends this agency.

- **Member Roach** commented she had to do work with another Commission and did an analysis of how many times Commission recommendations were different than staff and it was only 3% for all the commissions across San Francisco in 10 years and asked if she knew what the percentage is for the Commission at SFPUC and how likely is this Commission to survive.

Commissioner Jamdar responded that she does not know historically, and the Commissions are not set up for radical change. They are required to do a lot of reading and understanding, sign off on big contracts and there is a big volume of stuff coming to Commissioners and you must decide what to take on. Regarding the survival of the Commission, she believes since it is an important Commission, it will survive but this decision not up to them.

- **Member Hernandez** commented in her experience, the more you get ahead of issues the better chance you have of making an impact and Mayor Lurie recently announced a contract with an AI machine and is concerned about the environmental and power impacts that this will have on the community especially with the data center and asked what is the Commission's stance on this and the thoughts being shared about what is being done.

Commissioner Jamdar responded the Commission is not set up for them to take a stance since it is discouraged and illegal for them to meet up as a group. In an official meeting, if someone brings this up, they can have a conversation and agree. If a CAC resolution were to be passed on this, the Commission would be able to discuss it.

- **Chair Perszyk** thanked Commissioner Jamdar for coming to visit the CAC.

6. Staff Report

- District 10 and Environmental Justice seats are vacant.
- Please remember to confirm your attendance and please try to be on time.
- An email about Prop E Streamline Taskforce was sent out and the meeting is set for September 17th at 1 pm. There are additional details on their website so please visit if you have any questions.

Public Comment: None.

7. SFPUC Communications

- [SFPUC Wildfire Mitigation Plan 2024](#)
- [Capital Financing Plan FY 2025-26 to FY 2034-35](#)
- [Quarterly Audit and Performance Report, FY 2023-24, Q4](#)
- [Hazards and Climate Resilience Plan 2025 Update](#)
- Water Enterprise
 - [Long-term Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation Plan](#)
 - [Water Supply Conditions Update \(May 5, 2025\)](#)
 - [Water Enterprise Capital Improvement Program Report, FY 2024-25 Q2](#)
 - [Hetch Hetchy Capital Improvement Program Report, FY 2024-25 Q2](#)
 - [Alternative Water Supply Annual Progress Report](#)
 - [2009 Water Supply Agreement Quarterly Update](#)
 - [Onsite Water Reuse Program Update, FY 2022-23](#)
 - [Recent Wastewater Enterprise Bond Sale Results](#)
 - [Supplemental Appropriation of Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response \(ESER\) 2010 and 2014 General Obligation Bonds Interest Earnings](#)
 - [Water System Improvement Program Annual Report](#)
- Wastewater Enterprise
 - [Water Enterprise Capital Improvement Program Update, FY 2024-25 Q2](#)
- Power Enterprise
 - [CleanPowerSF Update](#)
 - [PG&E Interconnection Report, FY 2023-24, Q4](#)

Public Comment: None.

8. Future Agenda Items and Resolutions

- [CAC Advance Calendar](#)

Public Comment: None.

9. Announcements/Comments Please visit www.sfpuc.org/cac for confirmation of the next scheduled meeting, agenda, and materials.

Public Comment: None.

10. Adjournment at 6:59 pm.

For more information concerning the agendas, minutes, and meeting information, please visit www.sfwater.org/cac. For more information concerning the CAC, please contact via email at cac@sfwater.org or by calling (415) 517-8465.

Disability Access

The following services are available on request 48 hours prior to the meeting; except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline shall be 4:00 p.m. of the last business day of the preceding week: For American sign language interpreters or the use of a reader during a meeting, a sound enhancement system, and/or alternative formats of the agenda and minutes, please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465 or our TTY at (415) 554-3488 to make arrangements for the accommodation. Late requests will be honored, if possible.

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals. Individuals with chemical sensitivity or related disabilities should call our accessibility hotline at (415) 554-6789.

LANGUAGE ACCESS

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Committee. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, or cac@sfwater.org at least 48 hours in advance of the hearing. Late requests will be honored if possible.

語言服務

根據三藩市行政法第91章"語言服務條例", 中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語口譯服務在有人提出要求後會提供。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會後要求提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也可提供。請於會議前至少48小時致電 (415) 517-8465 或電郵至 [\[cac@sfwater.org\]](mailto:cac@sfwater.org) Lexus Moncrease 提出口譯要求。逾期要求, 在可能狀況下會被考慮。

ACCESO A IDIOMAS

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas "*Language Access Ordinance*" (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco "*Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code*") intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Los minutos podrán ser traducidos, de ser requeridos, luego de ser aprobados por la comité. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con Lexus Moncrease al (415) 517-8465, o cac@sfwater.org por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

PAG-ACCESS SA WIKA

Ayon sa Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 ng San Francisco Administrative Code), maaaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin sa wikang Tsino, Espanyol, at/o Filipino (Tagalog). Kapag hiniling, ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komite. Maari din magkaroon ng tulong sa ibang wika. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyaring tumawag sa Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, o cac@sfgov.org sa hindi bababa sa 48 oras bago mag miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng pagbibigyan.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code §2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102, Phone: (415) 252-3100/Fax: (415) 252-3112, Email: ethics.commission@sfgov.org.

Know your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, by mail to **Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244** San Francisco, CA 94102-4683; by telephone 415-554-7724, by Fax 415-554-7854, or by email: soft@sfgov.org

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.