



San Francisco Water Power Sewer

Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

525 Golden Gate Avenue, 13th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
T 415.554.3155
F 415.554.3161

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Citizens' Advisory Council

MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, September 15, 2026
5:30 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.
525 Golden Gate Ave., 3rd Floor Tuolumne Conference Room

Members of the public may observe and participate via Zoom virtual conference software.

Meeting Recording:

https://sfwater.zoom.us/rec/share/8rdd3rzTidVcSdBwxvXNQFf0m6XTE110g4-d_IpNSUQJQxJ9iSuDf5-l8OgrJLiY.aVWajze3Jdz9s7Fd

Meeting Passcode
978832

Mission: The purpose of the SFPUC CAC is to provide recommendations to the SFPUC General Manager, the SFPUC Commission, and the Board of Supervisors regarding the agency's long-term strategic, financial, and capital improvement plans ([Admin. Code Article XV, Sections 5.140 - 5.142](#))

Members:

Douglas Jacuzzi (Chair) (D4)	VACANT (D10)
Cal Law (D1)	Jennifer Clary (D11)
Erin Roach (D2)	VACANT (B-Small Business)
Sally Chen (D3)	Arthine Cossey van Duyne (B-Environ. Justice)
Lila Holzman (D5)	Katie Zheng (M-Large Water User)
Alex Francois (D6)	Sarah Atkinson (M-Environmental Org.)
Julia Hernandez (D7)	Thomas Smegal (M-Regional Water Customers)
Amy Nagengast (D8)	Loretta Dickerson-Smith (M-
Aaron Hebert (D9)	Engineering/Financial)

D = District Supervisor appointed, M = Mayoral appointed, B = Board President appointed

Staff Liaisons: Lexus Moncrease and Lupita Garcia
Staff Email for Public Comment: cac@sfwater.org

Daniel Lurie
Mayor

Joshua Arce
President

ORDER OF BUSINESS

1. Call to Order and Roll Call at 5:32 pm

Members Present (10): Jacuzzi, Roach, Chen, Holzman, Francois, Nagengast, Clary, Cossey Van Duyne, Zheng, Atkinson.

Members Absent (5): Law, Hernandez, Hebert, Smegal*, Dickerson-Smith.

Staff/Presenters: Josh Anderson, Ada Zhu, Matthew Schwartz, Nicholas Whipps.

Members of the Public: Eileen Boken, John Crabtree.

Stephen E. Leveroni
Vice President

Avni Jamdar
Commissioner

Kate H. Stacy
Commissioner

Meghan Thurlow
Commissioner

Dennis J. Herrera
General Manager

OUR MISSION: To provide our customers with high-quality, efficient, and reliable water, power and sewer services in a values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted to our care.



**Member Smegal marked present at 5:38 pm*

2. Approve [August 18, 2026, Meeting Minutes](#)

A motion was made (Clary) and seconded (Francois) to approve the August 18, 2026, Meeting Minutes.

The minutes were approved without objection.

Public Comment: None.

3. Public Comment: Members of the public may address the Committee on matters that are within the Committee's jurisdiction and are not on today's agenda.

- **Eileen Boken** has attended SFPUC's Capital Planning Committee for 10 years. During those 10 years, SFPUC has presented multiple times on its 10-year capital plan and budget and is struck by how the SFPUC capital projects and budget is driven by its debt. Currently, 30 percent of the PUC operating revenue goes to debt services. In less than 5 years, 50 percent of the SFPUC's operating revenue will go to debt services. Currently, the SFPUC has more than 6 billion in debt and authorization for up to 11 billion. For easier and cheaper operations, the SFPUC has sued the State Water Board for the Bay Delta Plan and has sued the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on ocean water quality. The Coastal Commission has issued multiple notices of violation to the SFPUC for the coastal armoring data permit. The SFPUC has been a signatory for the Ocean Beach master plan and its management even though the SFPUC knew it would never be implemented. Besides revenue bonds and certificates of participation, that are WIFIA funds and state revolving funds since 2010, SFPUC has also obtained two Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response (ESER) bonds. SFPUC has accessed hundreds of millions of dollars through ESER bonds since 2010. Much of the financial situation can be traced to Proposition E in 2002 and now the SFPUC wants to expand even more.

4. Report from the Chair

- Welcome members, staff, and the public
- [Ohlone Tribal Land Acknowledgement](#)

Public Comment: None.

5. Discussion and Possible Action: [Adopt Amended CAC Rules of Order](#), Full CAC Chair Douglas Jacuzzi

- [Current CAC Rules of Order with tracked changes](#)
- [Notice of Public Hearing Posted August 28, 2026](#)

Discussion:

- **Chair Jacuzzi** informed Committee Members that we are amending the CAC Rules of Order to reflect the changes that were proposed by the Commission Streamlining Taskforce and adopted by the Board of Supervisors. This eliminates redundancies in the Rules of Orders that are already stated in State and Local laws.
- **Member Clary** commented the tracked changes have deleted transparency items, specifically items 16 and 17 on the last page and there is no comment on item 16 to why it was removed and asked if removing two transparency items, what are the practices for transparency.

Staff Moncrease responded the Council is subject to public records law and there is already a practice to provide information to members when requested.

Member Clary commented that this is different and is more like disclosure similar to what the Commission does like this is what members are requesting, the communications the body has received and while not a current practice, it is good practice to implement.

Staff Moncrease responded that the CAC does have a correspondence log linked in the agenda.

Member Clary commented it would be good to keep track of what members are requesting as it may be valuable to the rest of the members.

Member Cossey van Duyne asked if this information is already in the log.

Staff Moncrease responded that if the information request is sent to the CAC inbox, it will be included but if it sent directly the Staff Moncrease, it will not be included but can start doing so moving forward.

- **Member Clary** commented that one of the links that should be provided on the CAC agenda is Robert's Rules of Order since it is mentioned in the CAC Rules of Order.

Staff Moncrease responded that Robert's Rules of Order are included in the CAC onboarding packet.

- **Member Nagengast** commented on page 3, under "Special Meetings of the Council" it seems outdated and have been discussing special meetings for the Council and its challenge to host them at SFPUC CAC and is looking at the ways to notify the Special Meetings and asked if there have been any updates to modernize the list to include email and websites and not do some less efficient communication strategies.

Deputy City Attorney Whipps responded the notice options listed are notice options by state law which have not been updated and you can always provide additional notices by other means as long as it satisfies special meeting notices requirements.

Member Nagengast asked what the "each" is attached to.

- **Deputy City Attorney Whipps** there are two, one attached to "members" and one attached to the media outlets that have requested notice in writing.

Member Smegal asked if we know anyone who has requested this type of notice.

Staff Moncrease responded no.

Deputy City Attorney Whipps further responded the members of the council and the public need to be notified of the special meeting. If in the future, a media outlet wants notice, they would need to receive it as well.

Member Clary asked if everything gets posted with the library.

Staff Moncrease responded yes.

- **Member Cossey van Duyne** commented the Communications team was not very forthcoming on how to support broadcast CAC's regular meetings let alone special meetings for the public.

Staff Moncrease responded it is not regular practice for any city department to broadcast meetings and members are allowed to post the agenda to their personal social media platforms and they cannot make comments or take stances on issues on behalf of the body.

- **Member Chen** asked if the meetings are audio recorded.

Staff Moncrease responded yes through Zoom.

- **Member Roach** asked if the year in Rule 20 is defined by a calendar year.

Staff Moncrease responded it is calendar year.

- **Chair Jacuzzi** asked if staff could comment on appointment dates for the Council members.

Staff Moncrease responded that all members' terms expire on May 8, 2028, and after this, the 3-year terms will start.

A motion was made (Smegal) and seconded (Clary) to adopt the Amended Rules of Order.

The motion passes with the following votes:

AYES (11): Jacuzzi, Roach, Chen, Holzman, Francois, Nagengast, Clary, Cossey van Duyne, Zheng, Atkinson, Smegal.

NOES (0):

ABSENT (4): Law, Hernandez, Hebert, Dickerson-Smith.

Public Comment: None.

6. Presentation and Discussion: [Emergency Firefighting Water System Update](#), Josh Andresen and Ada Zhu, Project Managers SFPUC, Matthew Schwartz Assistant Deputy Chief, San Francisco Fire Department

- Resource – [Westside Potable Emergency Firefighting Water System Presentation to SF Planning Commission](#)
- Resource- www.sfpuc.gov/EmergencyWater

Presentation:

- Emergency Firefighting Water System Update to the Citizen's Advisory Committee
- Introductions
- Multiple Layers of Fire Protection
- Emergency Firefighting Water System – Overview
- Emergency Firefighting Water System
- Sunset Wells to Ocean Beach Drill
- Golden Gate Park Drill
- Background – Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response (ESER) Bonds

- Westside Emergency Firefighting Water System Project
- Environmental Review and Permitting Updates
- Westside Emergency Firefighting Water System Schedule
- Emergency Firefighting Water System (EFWS) Contract A
- 3. Project/Program Schedule – Ferry Boat Manifold
- Mission Bay Ferry Landing Fireboat Manifold
- Questions?

Discussion:

- **Member Holzman** asked how often the drills take place.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded right now it is about 1 every 45 days.

Member Cossey van Duyne asked where they are located.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz they are all over the city.

- **Member Cossey van Duyne** commented she noticed a pump station in the Westside and asked if they are looking at the South.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded the Richmond and Sunset neighborhoods where the map is not showing as much red dots.

- **Member Francois** asked what the ratio of active firefighters during a shift is.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded 312.

Member Francois asked if that is enough per resident for emergency preparedness.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz population density drives staffing and fire coverage.

Member Francois asked how many active fires the fire department can handle with 312 active firefighters.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded it depends and in a major earthquake, it is highly likely San Francisco will be relying on mutual aid outside of the city.

- **Member Francois** asked if Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz is part of the Capital Improvement team.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded he is charge of Capital Projects for the San Francisco Fire Department.

- **Member Francois** asked what the forecast is for updating the water system.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded this has been discussed and agrees there is a need for it and the streets and infrastructures looked different when it was built in 1917.

- **Member Francois** asked if there are any advocacy efforts the CAC can do.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz possibly in the future but not right now because the main effort is getting the system in the Sunset and Richmond districts built.

- **Member Cossey van Duyne** asked if they are looking at density on the Southeast side.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded yes and recently there were high pressure systems installed.

- **Member Cossey van Duyne** asked if there are plans to have another fireboat station.
- **Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz** responded no.
- **Chair Jacuzzi** asked if the pump stations are considered high pressure

Staff Andresen respond the red one is not, but it does give good pressure. The real high pressure ones are blue and purple. Planning shows the blue one may be sufficient and there may not be a need for the purple.

- **Member Atkinson** responded why there.

Staff Andresen responded initially it was going to be sequenced differently but after COVID-19, there was coordination with the fire department to look at what makes the most sense.

- **Member Holzman** commented there was a liquefaction risk with the Fort Mason manifold and asked if it was still in that zone.

Staff Zhu responded the existing one is along the wall at one at the piers and will be relocated to Pier 2 where there is a seawall.

Member Roach asked if they were moving from Gashouse Cove over to Docks A and B.

Staff Zhu responded it will be moved to Pier 2 behind the seawall.

Member Roach commented this area of the Marina is rumored to be a weak node and asked if this is getting us over the weak node.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded if that neighborhood lost water due to a main break, we can recharge the neighborhood by isolating valves, pumping the manifold and will provide resiliency.

Member Francois marked absent at 6:36 pm. Quorum was maintained.

- **Chair Jacuzzi** commented he has attended many meetings where the public would like to see the system back under the fire department and asked when the transfer of the system was done.

Staff Andreson responded 2010.

Chair Jacuzzi asked why the SFPUC is managing the system.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded the fire department does not have capacity to maintain, design and engineer the system and does not have the technical expertise needed.

Member Clary commented her recollection is the system was in poor condition and needed retrofitting and SFPUC has the knowledge and capacity to do it.

Staff Andresen further responded SFPUC and San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) are partners and meet frequently.

- **Chair Jacuzzi** asked why salt water is not used in the Westside.

Staff Andresen presented some slides to the Planning Commission that explained this clearly. In having a dual system, we are partially funding it with funds used for drinking water and ESER funds, so the money goes twice as far. There is also the benefit of having a lifeline of drinking water accessible. Pumps 1 and 2 are near the Bay which is a calm water environment which allows for tunnels. For Ocean Beach, the tunnels would be in liquefaction zone and there would need a pumpstation, driveway, 5 buildings, electric connection, and we are reliant on the pumpstation to pump the water out where this system has gravity.

- **Chair Jacuzzi** asked staff to comment about Lake Merced water mixing into the potable drinking system.

Staff Andresen responded we already have this capability, and it would have to be ordered by the mayor if our drinking water system was taken out for a long time and would need to issue a boil water notice. In the new system, it would be much more controlled, and it would only be a last resort.

- **Member Clary** asked if there are future plans because this only goes through the current funded capital program.

Staff Andresen responded SFPUC's capital plan is a 20-year plan and shows funding needed to build out the system. The driver behind funding this project is how quickly SFPUC can get ESER funds and have been told they can receive them every 6 years in similar amounts previously awarded.

- **Member Clary** commented District 10 and 11 are relatively unprotected areas and there is some risk and asked when the project is coming to these districts.

Staff Andresen responded that the 2050 plan showed new pipelines if there were unlimited budget and the city priorities based on risk.

Member Clary asked if we are not a risk because we are low-density.

Staff Andresen responded it has not been determined what is risky. The first priority was the Westside and there now needs to be a study done to figure out what to do next.

Member Clary asked if the system is not going to be extended to this side, what are the contingency plans.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded it would be an above ground system or drafting from the Bay.

Member Smegal commented there is a regular firefighting system in the area.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded yes, there is a low-pressure system in the area.

- **Member Holzman** commented Proposition E is on the ballot and if passes, it would change the way the city does it contracting and procurement and asked if this would make it easier.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded he is not very familiar with the proposition and in the contracting room but does believe there is room for efficiency in the way things are done.

- **Member Atkinson** asked what are the other things that the SFPUC and SFFD are thinking in terms of fire prevention.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded SFFD has a very robust fire prevention bureau. They recently have done more vegetation management in District 11. The SFPUC has hired consultants and subject matters experts to help model scenarios to help.

Member Atkinson commented there are talks about the automatic gas shutoff valves, especially in the Sunset where there are a lot of wood constructed buildings and the communication and education of this along with the building of the system is important because this is not talked about enough.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded he will talk to the Fire Marshall to bring this up and improve communication strategies.

Chair Jacuzzi commented for many decades that the International Building Council has been working with the National Fire Protection to improve building stock and there are improvements to make buildings much safer.

- **Member Zheng** asked if the use of bay water to supplement the system will lead to corrosion of the pipes.

Staff Andresen responded after every drill, there is time spent flushing the salt water out of the system.

Member Clary asked where it is flushed.

Staff Andresen responded it is flushed into the bay and there are permits.

Member Clary asked if the Wastewater Enterprise is notified.

Assistant Deputy Chief Schwartz responded they are notified.

- **Member Nagengast** commented she would like for the next update presentation to be an update on the software and digital aspects of the system like analytics and sensors, where deploys are coming into a global SCADA system, and how does this overlays with the domestic and emergency firewater system.

Staff Andresen responded there is a new technology called fiber optics and overlay to show how the pipe is moving. The other is early earthquake warning system, and the automated valves can be isolated if needed. There are multiple backup systems in place as well.

Member Atkison marked absent at 7:07 pm. Quorum maintained.

- **Chair Jacuzzi** asked if staff have a number of feet of pipeline accomplished to date.

Staff Andresen said he would love to have that number and can see if he can get it.

Public Comment

- **Eileen Boken** commented the reason the system moved from SFFD to SFPUC was due to budget reasons and before 1980, SFFD had its own civil engineers, plumbers, and did not need SFPUC. If the SFFD was fully staff, it would not need SFPUC. For 10 years, she has heard SFPUC state that potable water emergency firefighting water system is a concept from Japan and there is no evidence that ocean water for the Westside would require 5 to 10 pumpstations when the civil grand jury report titled "Act Now Before It Is Too Late: Aggressively Expand and Enhance Our High-Pressure Emergency Firefighting Water System" states that only 1 station will be needed. The ocean water pumpstation would take 10 to 20 years to permit and as someone who attends the Coastal Commission meetings, the permitting would only take 3 years. What the SFFD states is inconsistent on what it states in other forums and what the SFPUC states is inconsistent with its preliminary mitigation deck and says a reservoir is technically a dam. Sunset Reservoir and North Basin have been seismically retrofitted but South Basin has not. Sunset Reservoir supplies 25% of drinking water. The Westside plan would use the North and South Basins for drinking water. Once North Basin is depleted, the raw water from Lake Merced will be used and when raw water enters the drinking water pipes, San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) will be required to issue a boil water notice and would remain in place until the pipes were flushed out. Per the mitigated deck, that could take weeks or months. The cost of this project is \$42 million dollars per mile compared to \$6 million for water pipeline. The goal of the Westside potable EFWS is to access ESER bonds. The deck presented today is different than that presented to the Capital Planning Committee. What is presented today is only the initial phases, subsequent phases go to 2040.

Staff Andresen responded there is a report done as to why 5-10 pump stations and can send it to staff to share.

- **John Crabtree** a resident of District 4, commented on page 12 of the presentation makes a point of Contract A and CEQA appeal that took 4-5 months to resolve. SFPUC representatives have suggested in multiple forums that the deck appeal is a major contributor to the delays on project and is disingenuous. The packets were prepared for appeals, and he was one of them to be heard when it was filed. By statute the appeal is to be heard no fewer than 15 days and no more than 30 days after the filing of the appeal. SF Planning Department in consultation with the SFPUC requested a four month continuance. The four to five month delay mentioned in the presentation tonight was requested by the city. Delays matter and, in the summer, on the ballot was Proposition A which is the 2026 ESER bond authorization which stated it would bring emergency firefighting water to "the Westside neighborhood and every corner of San Francisco." That is a direct quote from the 2026 June voter information pamphlet, so it is not outdated nor inaccurate. Despite it being authored by the Mayor and Chief Crispen, it was incorrect. His main comment is when we are going to see emergency firewater in the

Westside and every corner of San Francisco. This is what we were promised and words matter. When are the pipes and hydrants going to arrive and more importantly, when in 16 years and four ESER bonds covering nearly \$2 billion dollars did not translate to a foot of pipe or one fire hydrant in the Westside.

Staff Andresen responded the continuance was to respond to the comments received and needed time to professionally respond. It was a confirmed delay which means two things happening at the same time which were CEQA delay and technology issues. What is being presented is what we are trying to be transparent on, such as the updated schedule and any delays. He heard the comment there was not a pipeline, but we just showed all the pipelines we built in 2014 and rehabbed the reservoirs and pumpstations.

7. Staff Report

- No Staff Report

Public Comment: None.

8. SFPUC Communications (Information Only)

- [Future Agenda Items & Resolutions](#)
- [Correspondence Log](#)
- [Water Supply Conditions Update](#)
- [Annual Alternative Water Supply Program Report](#)
- [Annual Street Light Program Update](#)
- [Water System Improvement Program Quarterly \(Q4\) Report FY 2025-26](#)
- [Green Infrastructure Grant Quarterly Report Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Ordinance 207-24](#)

Public Comment: None.

9. Please visit www.sfpuc.gov/cac for announcements, comments, and confirmation of the next scheduled meeting, agenda, and materials.

10. Adjournment at 7:16 pm.

For more information concerning the agendas, minutes, and meeting information, please visit sfpuc.gov/cac. For more information concerning the CAC, please contact by email at cac@sfgwater.org or by calling (415) 517-8465.

Disability Access

The following services are available on request 48 hours prior to the meeting; except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline shall be 4:00 p.m. of the last business day of the preceding week: For American sign language interpreters or the use of a reader during a meeting, a sound enhancement system, and/or alternative formats of the agenda and minutes, please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465 or our TTY at (415) 554-3488 to make arrangements for the accommodation. Late requests will be honored, if possible.

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals. Individuals with chemical sensitivity or related disabilities should call our accessibility hotline at (415) 554-6789.

LANGUAGE ACCESS

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Committee. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, or cac@sfgwater.org at least 48 hours in advance of the hearing. Late requests will be honored if possible.

語言服務

根據三藩市行政法第91章"語言服務條例", 中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語口譯服務在有人提出要求後會提供。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會後要求提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也可提供。請於會議前至少48小時致電((415) 517-8465或電郵至[\[cac@sfgwater.org\]](mailto:cac@sfgwater.org) Lexus Moncrease 提出口譯要求。逾期要求, 在可能狀況下會被考慮。

ACCESO A IDIOMAS

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas "Language Access Ordinance" (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco "Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code") intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Los minutos podrán ser traducidos, de ser requeridos, luego de ser aprobados por la comité. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con Lexus Moncrease al (415) 517-8465, o cac@sfgwater.org por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

PAG-ACCESS SA WIKA

Ayon sa Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 ng San Francisco Administrative Code), maaaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin sa wikang Tsino, Espanyol, at/o Filipino (Tagalog). Kapag hiniling, ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komite. Maari din magkaroon ng tulong sa ibang wika. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyaring tumawag sa Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, o cac@sfgwater.org sa hindi bababa sa 48 oras bago mag miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng pagbibigyan.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code §2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102, Phone: (415) 252-3100/Fax: (415) 252-3112, Email: ethics.commission@sfgov.org.

Know your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4683; by telephone 415-554-7724, by Fax 415-554-7854, or by email: sovf@sfgov.org

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.