



# San Francisco Water Power Sewer

Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

## San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Citizens' Advisory Committee

525 Golden Gate Avenue, 13th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94102

T 415.554.3155

F 415.554.3161

TTY 415.554.3488

### MEETING AGENDA

**Tuesday, November 18, 2025**

**5:30 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.**

**525 Golden Gate Ave., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Tuolumne Conference Room**

### MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY OBSERVE AND PARTICIPATE VIA ZOOM VIRTUAL CONFERENCE SOFTWARE

#### Meeting Recording URL

<https://sfwater.zoom.us/rec/share/r6plUBSTauxWDcCfcfa1AG0b62TqXkPSWGLD5mUzs-pTGolvBbyZSLm40C6BiB-K.65rzvvE3oVDg6js9?startTime=1763516465000>

#### Meeting Passcode:

840287

#### Meeting Recording URL

<https://sfwater.zoom.us/rec/share/fLKcuwqx7R8uFazyl2jBZytUvGeh-fQyQ1pvudAP-MqcG2J7GCv6rFLMVejyvXXx.yUH3GnyBwhnKfE2x?startTime=1763518810000>

#### Meeting Passcode:

281316

**Mission:** The purpose of the SFPUC CAC is to provide recommendations to the SFPUC General Manager, the SFPUC Commission, and the Board of Supervisors regarding the agency's long-term strategic, financial, and capital improvement plans  
([Admin. Code Article XV, Sections 5.140 - 5.142](#))

#### Members:

**Eliahu Perszyk Vice Chair  
(M-Large Water User)**

Cal Law (D1)

Erin Roach (D2)

Sally Chen (D3)

Douglas Jacuzzi (D4)

VACANT (D5)

Barklee Sanders (D6)

Julia Alicia Hernandez (D7)

Amy Nagengast (D8)

Aaron Hebert (D9)

VACANT (D10)

Jennifer Clary (D11)

Maika Pinkston (M-Environmental Org.)

Thomas Smegal (M-Regional Water

Customers)

Jodi Soboll (M-Engineering/Financial)

Andrea Baker (B-Small Business)

Arthine Cossey van Duyne (B-Environ. Justice)

**Daniel Lurie**  
Mayor

**Joshua Arce**  
President

**Stephen E. Leveroni**  
Vice President

**Avni Jamdar**  
Commissioner

**Meghan Thurlow**  
Commissioner

**Kate H. Stacy**  
Commissioner

**Dennis J. Herrera**  
General Manager

**D = District Supervisor appointed, M = Mayor appointed, B = Board President appointed**

Staff Liaisons: Lexus Moncrease and Lupita Garcia

Staff Email for Public Comment: [cac@sfwater.org](mailto:cac@sfwater.org)

### ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### 1. Call to Order and Roll Call at 5:37 pm

**Members Present (11):** Perszyk, Law, Roach, Jacuzzi, Sanders, Hernandez, Hebert, Clary, Smegal, Cossey van Duyne.

**Members Absent (5):** Chen, Nagengast, Pinkston\*, Soboll, Baker.

**OUR MISSION:** To provide our customers with high-quality, efficient, and reliable water, power and sewer services in a manner that values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted to our care.



\*Member Pinkston joined the meeting at 5:58 pm. Quorum maintained.

## 2. Approve October 21, 2025, Minutes

A motion was made (Clary) and seconded (Smegal) to approve the October 21, 2025, Minutes.

The minutes were approved by the following votes:

AYES (11): Perszyk, Law, Roach, Jacuzzi, Sanders, Hernandez, Hebert, Clary, Smegal, Cossey van Duyne.

NOES (0):

ABSENT (5): Chen, Nagengast, Pinkston, Soboll, Baker.

Public comment: None.

## 3. Report from the Chair

- Welcome members, staff, and the public
- Ohlone Tribal Land Acknowledgement
- Welcome new member

Public Comment: None.

## 4. Public Comment: Members of the public may address the Committee on matters that are within the Committee's jurisdiction and are not on today's agenda.

Public Comment: None.

## 5. Discussion: Full CAC FY 2025-2026 Priorities, Eli Perszyk, Full CAC Chair

- Resources:
  - [SFPUC CAC FY2023-2024 Annual Report](#)
  - [SFPUC CAC FY2022-2023 Annual Report](#)

*Discussion:*

- **Chair Perszyk** provided background on the presentations the Full CAC had in 2024-2025 including a presentation on Community Benefits and Racial Equity. The Chair referenced item 3 of the FY24-25 priority list and pointed out that the Water Subcommittee discussed the topic of in stream flows but realized is not something the PUC is able to present on until they reach a legal settlement, which is a years long process. In the Water Subcommittee we asked if there are some projects the SFPUC could do to improve the water flow in the river that is separate from the legal agreement.

**Member Smegal** commented there is a chance the issue gets resolved at least in some way at the State Water Board by the end of 2026 so there may be an opportunity by the end of next year assuming it has been approved.

**Member Clary** commented we have a report about what it would be so we could act based on that and whether you agree or disagree with the

flow requirement, we all can agree projects need to happen and this would be the minimum level. Dos Rios is great, but it is time we started hearing about another project.

**Chair Perszyk** commented this will not be a subject at the Full CAC and will be moved to the Water Subcommittee.

- **Chair Perszyk** commented PG&E reliability was not discussed at the Full CAC and it is not just about PG&E reliability, the topic also discusses the City's efforts to take over PG&E infrastructure. Affordability was also not discussed in the Full CAC but will be discussed at next week's Water Subcommittee meeting. The Emergency Firefighting water system is something the Full CAC committee reviews every year. The Full CAC also wanted to discuss local hiring and infrastructure projects where during major capital projects the SFPUC will hire a general contractor to oversee these projects and then contract out lots of work and would like to see how much money is going to local companies and asked if there is anything that members would like to add.

**Member Cossey van Duyne** asked if this is vendor diversity.

**Chair Perszyk** responded the Full CAC is trying to find out what the SFPUC is doing specifically about hiring locally and diversity is part of this.

- **Member Sanders** commented the same way we talk about PG&E, we should talk about Treasure Island, Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) and the city-owned grid. If we want an update on PG&E and how they are going to be more reliable, we should also include Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island updates on procuring the infrastructure to Site 12 to the priority. This year, Treasure Island has had the most power outages since Member Sanders has been tracking them in the last decade and if we are going to hold private entities accountable, we should also hold whoever manages the grid on Treasure Island accountable in the same way.

**Chair Perszyk** responded if we can get someone from the Power Enterprise to speak on this agenda item, this sounds perfectly reasonable to add Treasure Island. With PG&E reliability, it is in relation to the issues with SFPUC capital projects where PG&E does not provide an interconnection creating delays and it would also be great to hear from someone in the Power Enterprise what their perspective is on PG&E reliability and lastly, there is a big effort for the City to take over the PG&E infrastructure.

*"PG&E Reliability" was added as the priority one on the FY 2025-26 priority list. "Updates on SFPUC efforts to procure SF PG&E infrastructure" was added as the first subtopic under priority number one.*

- **Member Clary** commented she has been receiving a lot of calls and emails about the plan to resurface the Crocker Amazon ball fields with artificial turf. SFPUC owns a right-of-way or a tunnel through there, so they have partial ownership of the space.

**Chair Perszyk** asked what the specific concern is.

**Member Clary** commented we should not be using artificial turf because it is toxic and SFPUC is responsible for runoff water quality, so they are responsible for stormwater runoff that goes directly to the Bay.

**Staff Moncrease** responded the turf project is under the Department of Parks and Recreation and the SFPUC is asking to be involved, however, there is some miscommunication going on regarding who is in charge and who needs to be involved. The SFPUC does own utilities there, but work has been done in the past that the SFPUC was not aware of and are trying to get involved with this project.

**Member Clary** commented one of the members of the Department of Park and Recreation is a former director of the Department of Toxic Substances Control at the State level so maybe she could come talk about this.

**Chair Perszyk** asked if we can frame it as SFPUC working with the Department of Parks and Recreation to make sure what they are putting on the field is aligned with green infrastructure.

**Chair Clary** commented SFPUC spends a lot of time and money trying to reduce the impact of plastics and this seems contrary to SFPUC's own positions and best interests, and it would be good to ask SFPUC what their role is and whether they have any authority and input on a project that could add to their runoff issues.

**Member Jacuzzi** commented for this "improvement" as a development is tantamount to putting a building up and there are ordinances in place for buildings and what a building can do and asked if the water management ordinance also apply to Department of Recreation and Parks project.

- **Member Jacuzzi** commented getting a simultaneous presentation from urban watershed and water supply staff so we can see how they are working together on the alternative water supply since we have all the rainwater failing within our watershed.

**Chair Perszyk** responded it would be good to focus on a specific project.

**Member Jacuzzi** commented we could but fundamentally what we don't know is we hear specific presentations about wastewater side and when we ask them any questions about the water, the response is usually not known since it is handled on the Water side, and it would

be good to have them in the same room. The Green Infrastructure project push is only discussed under the umbrella of diverting from the combined sewer system and not discussed under how we are going to use that water as an alternative water supply or for ground water.

**Member Cossey van Duyne** asked if any of this is covered under the Urban Water Management Plan they are working on now or if the CAC ever gets briefings about what their strategy is.

**Member Clary** responded yes, and the Alternative Water Supply Plan includes groundwater on the Westside, but they don't have a plan to replenish that ground water and don't have a plan to divert stormwater into groundwater recharge.

**Member Cossey Van Duyne** asked if there is no strategy on what to do upstream.

**Member Clary** responded yes.

**Chair Perszyk** further commented we see different groups who play different roles, but they don't work together.

**Member Smegal** commented when discussing a groundwater basin, in this case that is in an urban environment, you are naturally going to get stormwater inflows and that's the recharge so the question would only be on water quality and are we managing those stormwater inflows in an appropriate way to keep the water of high enough quality. They may only use it for irrigation at Golden Gate Park, but it would be good to have them discuss it together.

**Member Cossey van Duyne** commented we have more customers that are impacted by water supply than just residents of San Francisco and it includes all the Bay Area Water Supply & Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) customers.

**Member Jacuzzi** commented we had a great presentation in the Water Subcommittee about how the Westside Basin could supply certain amounts of water then we asked the questions of what would happen if we needed more water from that and they could not answer where that water would come from.

- **Member Herbert** commented part of our role on the CAC is to focus on SFPUC capital projects and it is part of our charter and one of the challenges for him in being effective is knowing there is a lot linked in the communication section in the agenda and asked if it is possible for information to be broken down by district.

**Member Clary** commented they did this about 15-20 years ago on the wastewater side but is not sure if they are still doing it. There used to be reports on what was going on by neighborhood and people should speak up when it was happening in their neighborhood so it should be something that would be easy to ask for.

**Member Smegal** commented this is a particularly good time to ask for it because in the first part of the year the SFPUC Commission will adopt a new 10-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for water and wastewater at the same time since it is done every 2 years.

**Chair Perszyk** responded we did not talk about it in the last year but have had presentations in the past and it would be good to ask them to have a map when they come to present.

**Member Cossey van Duyne** commented some utilities have a process where while planning their CIP they reach out to the community for feedback and asked if the SFPUC does this.

**Member Clary** responded that is one of the things we are tasked to do but the SFPUC does not do a lot of outreach.

**Member Cossey van Duyne** asked why.

**Member Clary** responded this is a good question and is not sure. We talked to them about their outreach and engagement.

**Member Law** commented Member Chen tried to work with the SFPUC to table at an event and they had a hard time getting the SFPUC to participate.

- **Member Clary** commented it is time for the CAC to get a general communications presentation and two things that should be focused are how urgent topics are communicated like the Urban Water Management Plan that comes out next year and CIPs and how do people find out about things that are not urgent because the SFPUC has so much on their website it is hard to find information.

**Member Cossey van Duyne** commented engagement is different than communication because from her experience, it is very one-directional. Posting something on Facebook and how many people saw it is not engagement and asked if SFPUC goes out to schools and teach.

**Member Clary** commented yes, they have curriculum, and they fund other groups to do programs.

- **Member Cossey van Duyne** commented it is a good time to have a general briefing about how the SFPUC in all three enterprises thinks about environmental justice because we do not know how they define environmental justice and how they are implementing environmental justice as a big entity.

*A recess was taken at 6:17 pm. The meeting resumed at 6:21 pm*

*Local Hiring was added as the second priority on the FY 2025-26 Priority List. Capital Improvement Projects (by District) was added as the third priority on the FY 2025-26 Priority List. Environmental Justice was added as the fourth priority on the FY 2025-26 Priority List. Stormwater and Water Supply was added as the fifth priority on the FY 2025-26*

*Priority List. Crocker Amazon Field Artificial Turf was added as the sixth priority on the FY 202-26 Priority List.*

- **Member Clary** asked if members wanted to revisit the Folsom Project.

**Chair Perszyk** commented he gave this as an example as a specific project but if members do want to deep dive into a project, it can be added.

- **Chair Perszyk** asked for local hiring to be updated to local contracting and infrastructure projects.

*Local Hiring was updated to Local Contracting and Infrastructure projects on the FY 2025-26 Priority List.*

- **Member Clary** asked for Communications to be included in the list of priorities.

*SFPUC Communication Methods and Customer Outreach was added as the seventh priority on the FY 2025-26 Priority List.*

- **Member Cossey van Duyne** asked if topics like the grey tsunami and outreach to campus were discussed in the workforce development presentation.

**Chair Perszyk** responded yes, we have had presentations on that.

- **Member Pinkston** commented in the last SFPUC Commission meeting she was in they brought up Workforce Development and, in the meeting, they asked in case of an emergency, do we have a safety plan within the community and where the community will be involved to ensuring community safety. the Commission agreed and said this was something the SFPUC needed to do and were requiring them to do and asked for the next meeting in December to present a safety plan. As a resident of the Bayview, she has seen sewage floating down Third Street and asked what residents should do and commented at the Commission meeting if staff can be trained, then so can the public.

**Member Cossey van Duyne** asked if this is like Neighborhood Emergency Response Team (NERT) and seeing how we are organized for this.

**Chair Perszyk** responded a few years ago there was a joint meeting with the Southeast Community Facility Commission and asked if we should include them in the discussion if this will be a priority.

**Member Pinkston** responded yes, and we should include the community committees because these are the people out in the community. For example, she does workforce development who does community cleanup for community events like Sunday Streets and there is a group of young adults cleaning up and disposing of construction materials. If a disaster were to happen, these people in the community should be trained to properly clean up in their community.

**Member Clary** asked if this should be coordinated with the supervisors.

**Member Pinkston** responded no.

**Member Smegal** commented they should have an emergency response plan and is unsure how much than can share since the document may not be public facing.

**Member Pinkston** responded when the Commission asked, staff were not able to provide that information yet because they had not put into place.

*Southeast Wastewater Treatment Facility was added as the eighth priority in the FY 2025-26 priority list.*

- **Chair Perszyk** commented the priority should reflect how the community will be informed if there is a problem with a project or a sewage overflow and how is SFPUC working with the community on emergency response plans.

**Member Clary** commented it is activation.

**Member Pinkston** commented it should be both because there are at least 3,500 residents who live on the hill so if they are not aware there is a disaster, they will come down the hill and run right into sewage and fecal matter.

- **Member Hernandez** commented this was brought up in the Power Subcommittee but some protection and transparency around data centers and Artificial Intelligence (AI) usage for the City and Member Sanders mentioned education around the usage of AI.

**Chair Perszyk** asked if Member Hernandez meant data centers within the City of San Francisco or if the SFPUC is providing water to data centers.

**Member Hernandez** responded the transparency around the usage of water and power for the data centers. Around the country they are taking up a lot of resources and putting the cost on ratepayers and asked if the SFPUC was able to identify the impact of data centers and require some kind of mitigation or offset.

**Member Sanders** commented data centers use water like a manufacturer would, but they are closed-loop systems most of the time. When a facility wanted to do an interconnection for more electricity and the locals did not allow it, they went out and bought diesel generators. XAI built a wastewater plant that was part of their agreement with local government. Data centers will find a way to be built even when there is resilience just like XAI.

**Chair Perszyk** responded all we can ask is if SFPUC are dealing with data centers. If they are, can you tell us about your experience with this. The data centers do use a lot of water through the cooling towers and so the water use is proportional to the energy use.

**Member Smegal** commented in 2026, the SFPUC is going to come out with a new water demand study for 2050. This is a topic that the Bay

Area Waster Supply & Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) region in their demand study because they serve Sunnyvale and Santa Clara where they are building data centers and may want to consider having Manish come and talk about the demand study and talk about the data centers there.

- **Member Sanders** asked why Treasure Island is not listed.

*Treasure Island was added as the second subtopic under PG&E Reliability.*

- **Member Clary** asked for field trips to be added the list of priorities since there is the Watershed Center in Sunol and the Harry Tracy Treatment Plant tour was discussed as a possibility. There is also the pumping station in the North End.

**Staff Moncrease** responded it is likely the fieldtrips will not be done as a group and most likely in groups of two due to subcommittee quorum and a meeting can not be held without inviting members of the public and many of these facilities not meeting the standards under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). There may be a possibility a meeting can be noticed at a few of these sites if they are ADA accessible.

Public Comment: None.

## 6. Discussion and Possible Action: Full CAC Officer Elections, Eli Perszyk, Full CAC Chair

- **Chair Perszyk** commented the Chair's responsibilities are to set the agenda, working with CAC liaison staff to communicate the presentations the CAC would like to hear, and any questions CAC would like answered, and ensuring they fall within the fiscal year priorities, and running the meetings according to Robert's Rules of Order and making sure everyone has time to speak and is heard. The role of the Vice Chair is to be backup Chair in case the Chair is not available and asked Staff Moncrease to explain the role of the Secretary.

**Staff Moncrease** responded the Secretary is responsible for signing the cover letters for the resolutions the CAC passes which are distributed to the Board of Supervisors and the SFPUC Commission.

**Member Clary** asked if they need to review the minutes.

**Staff Moncrease** responded no, this is a duty every member has.

- **Member Jacuzzi** asked when the term starts.

**Chair Perszyk** responded it would be a two-year term beginning in January.

Member Clary nominated Secretary Pinkston for Secretary. The nomination was accepted.

Member Roach nominated Member Jacuzzi for Chair. The nomination was accepted.

Member Smegal nominated Member Law as Vice Chair. The nomination was accepted.

Douglass Jacuzzi is elected for Chair,

Cal Law is elected for Vice Chair, and

Maika Pinkston is elected for Secretary by the following vote:

AYES (11): Perszyk, Law, Roach, Jacuzzi, Sanders, Hernandez, Hebert, Clary, Pinkston, Smegal, Cossey van Duyne.

NOES (0):

ABSENT (4): Chen, Nagengast, Soboll, Baker.

Public Comment: None.

## 7. Staff Report

- District 5 and 10 seats are vacant.
- Next week, the Water Subcommittee will be meeting and have a presentation on affordability.

Public Comment: None.

## 8. SFPUC Communications

- [SFPUC Capital Project Delivery Methods](#)
- [SFPUC Wildfire Mitigation Plan 2025](#)
- [Capital Financing Plan FY 2025-26 to FY 2034-35](#)
- [Quarterly Audit and Performance Report, FY 2024-25, Q2](#)
- [Hazards and Climate Resilience Plan 2025 Update](#)
- Water Enterprise
  - [Long-term Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation Plan](#)
  - [Water Supply Conditions Update \(June 30, 2025\)](#)
  - [Water Enterprise Capital Improvement Program Report, FY 2024-25 Q4](#)
  - [Hetch Hetchy Capital Improvement Program Report, FY 2024-25 Q4](#)
  - [Alternative Water Supply Annual Progress Report](#)
  - [2009 Water Supply Agreement Quarterly Update](#)
  - [Onsite Water Reuse Projects](#)
  - [Supplemental Appropriation of Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response \(ESER\) 2010 and 2014 General Obligation Bonds Interest Earnings](#)
  - [Water System Improvement Program Report, FY 2024-25, Q4](#)
- Wastewater Enterprise
  - Recent Wastewater Enterprise Bond Sale Results – no update
  - [Wastewater Enterprise Quarterly Report \(Q4 FY2024-25\)](#)
- Power Enterprise
  - [CleanPowerSF Update](#)
  - [PG&E Interconnection Report, FY 2023-24, Q4](#)

Public Comment: None

## 9. Future Agenda Items and Resolutions

- [CAC Advance Calendar](#)

Public Comment: None.

## 10. Announcements/Comments Please visit [www.sfpuc.org/cac](http://www.sfpuc.org/cac) for confirmation of the next scheduled meeting, agenda, and materials.

- Member Jacuzzi shared the below video:  
<https://sfpuc.sharefile.com/public/share/web-sd5cd31fc124840b78519f0a3a3770b48>

Public Comment: None.

## 11. Adjournment at 7:05 pm.

For more information concerning the agendas, minutes, and meeting information, please visit [www.sfwater.org/cac](http://www.sfwater.org/cac). For more information concerning the CAC, please contact via email at [cac@sfwater.org](mailto:cac@sfwater.org) or by calling (415) 517-8465.

## Disability Access

The following services are available on request 48 hours prior to the meeting; except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline shall be 4:00 p.m. of the last business day of the preceding week: For American sign language interpreters or the use of a reader during a meeting, a sound enhancement system, and/or alternative formats of the agenda and minutes, please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465 or our TTY at (415) 554-3488 to make arrangements for the accommodation. Late requests will be honored, if possible.

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals. Individuals with chemical sensitivity or related disabilities should call our accessibility hotline at (415) 554-6789.

## LANGUAGE ACCESS

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Committee. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, or [cac@sfwater.org](mailto:cac@sfwater.org) at least 48 hours in advance of the hearing. Late requests will be honored if possible.

## 語言服務

根據三藩市行政法第91章"語言服務條例", 中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語口譯服務在有人提出要求後會提供。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會後要求提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也可提供。請於會議前至少48小時致電 (415) 517-8465 或電郵至 [cac@sfwater.org](mailto:cac@sfwater.org) Lexus Moncrease 提出口譯要求。逾期要求, 在可能狀況下會被考慮。

## ACCESO A IDIOMAS

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas “*Language Access Ordinance*” (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco “*Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code*”) intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Los minutos podrán ser traducidos, de ser requeridos, luego de ser aprobados por la comité. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con Lexus Moncrease al (415) 517-8465, o [cac@sfwater.org](mailto:cac@sfwater.org) por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

#### **PAG-ACCESS SA WIKA**

Ayon sa Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 ng San Francisco Administrative Code), maaaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin sa wikang Tsino, Espanyol, at/o Filipino (Tagalog). Kapag hiniling, ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komite. Maari din magkaroon ng tulong sa ibang wika. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyaring tumawag sa Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, o [cac@sfwater.org](mailto:cac@sfwater.org) sa hindi bababa sa 48 oras bago mag miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng pagbibigyan.

#### **Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements**

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code §2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102, Phone: (415) 252-3100/Fax: (415) 252-3112, Email: [ethics.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:ethics.commission@sfgov.org).

**Know your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)** Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4683; by telephone 415-554-7724, by Fax 415-554-7854, or by email: [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.