

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Citizens' Advisory Committee

MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, October 21, 2025 5:30 p.m. – 7:00 p.m. 525 Golden Gate Ave., 3rd Floor Tuolumne Conference Room

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY OBSERVE AND PARTICIPATE VIA ZOOM VIRTUAL CONFERENCE SOFTWARE

Meeting Recording URL

https://sfwater.zoom.us/rec/share/n_CQfr-8Yjuqphbw2ruprGvLoYEtsPxaWBq5eZB6irbnKCtpxY0yS3214RfZhmEJ.DEsUeHG-frozF6CF

Meeting Passcode

937809

Mission: The purpose of the SFPUC CAC is to provide recommendations to the SFPUC General Manager, the SFPUC Commission, and the Board of Supervisors regarding the agency's long-term strategic, financial, and capital improvement plans (Admin. Code Article XV, Sections 5.140 - 5.142)

Members:

Eliahu Perszyk Vice Chair (D9) (M-Large Water User) VACANT (D10) Cal Law (D1) Jennifer Clary (D11)

Erin Roach (D2) Maika Pinkston (M-Environmental Org.)
Sally Chen (D3) Thomas Smegal (M-Regional Water

Douglas Jacuzzi (D4) Customers)

VACANT (D5)

Barklee Sanders (D6)

Julia Alicia Hernandez (D7)

Judi Soboll (M-Engineering/Financial)

Andrea Baker (B-Small Business)

VACANT (B-Environ. Justice)

Amy Nagengast (D8)

D = District Supervisor appointed, M = Mayor appointed, B = Board President appointed

Staff Liaisons: Lexus Moncrease and Lupita Garcia Staff Email for Public Comment: cac@sfwater.org

ORDER OF BUSINESS

1. Call to Order and Roll Call at 5:33 pm

Members present at roll call (10): Perszyk, Law, Roach, Jacuzzi, Sanders, Hernandez, Nagengast, Hebert, Smegal, Baker

Members absent (4): Chen, Clary, Soboll, Pinkston

Staff/Presenters: Ada Zhu, Josh Anderson, Betsy Lauppe Rhodes

Daniel L. Lurie Mayor

Kate H. Stacy President

Joshua Arce

Vice President

Avni Jamdar Commissioner

Steve Leveroni Commissioner

Meghan Thurlow

Commissioner

Commissione

Dennis J. Herrera General Manager



OUR MISSION: To provide our customers with high-quality, efficient, and reliable water, power and sewer services in a manner that values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted to our care.

2. Approve August 19, 2025 minutes

A motion was made (Hebert) and seconded (Nagengast) to approve the August 19, 2025, minutes.

Ayes: (9) Perszyk, Law, Roach, Jacuzzi, Hernandez, Nagengast, Hebert, Smegal, Baker.

Noes: (0)

Absent: (5) Chen, Clary, Soboll, Pinkston, Sanders.

Public Comment: None.

3. Report from the Chair

- Welcome members, staff, and the public
- Ohlone Tribal Land Acknowledgement

Public Comment: None.

4. Public Comment: Members of the public may address the Committee on matters that are within the Committee's jurisdiction and are not on today's agenda.

Public Comment: None.

Presentation and Discussion: <u>Emergency Firefighting Water System Update</u>, Josh Andresen, PE, LEED AP Senior Project Manager, SFPUC Infrastructure Division

Resources:

- Westside Potable Emergency Firefighting Water System
- Strengthening San Francisco's Resilience: A Look Inside the Upgraded Pump Station No. 2
- Muck Rack | KGO-TV (San Francisco, CA) ABC7 News 6:00PM

Presentation

- Emergency Firefighting Water System Update to the Citizen's Advisory Committee
- Multiple Layers of Fire Protection
- Emergency Firefighting Water System
- Background 2050 Planning Study Improvement Concepts
- Background Potable Emergency Firefighting Water System
- PEFWS Alignment
- Contract B Alignment Revisions
- Sloat Blvd Alignment Study
- 3. Schedule Overview/Update PEFWS
- CEQA, Public Communications, and Outreach for PEFWS
- 3. Schedule Overview/Update EFWS Fireboat Manifolds
- Mission Bay Ferry Landing Fireboat Manifold
- Questions?

 Member Jacuzzi asked if the one-way valves were intermittent cross connections.

Staff Anderson responded they are double isolation with relief in between and we would never connect to Lake Merced without putting a spool piece in place at Lake Merced. Since it's all potable drinking water, we don't need double backflow preventers.

 Member Jacuzzi asked how do you maintain low and high pressure in the rectal pipe.

Staff Anderson responded we have a constant flow pressure reducing valve.

Member Jacuzzi commented that's when the intermittent cross connections need to occur at each of those locations.

Staff Anderson responded yes and normally, these have low pressure and feed water to it to maintain water quality. We get a decent amount of pressure to fight fires from this pipe. If the fire department needs higher pressure for a large fire or high-rise situation, we turn on a pump station and there are limited number of interconnections and close valves to pressurize the system, and they are fortunate to have because most other cities don't have this extra benefit.

Staff Zhu further responded we isolate the system dedicated for firefighting and once it's done you can turn it back into drinking water.

Member Jacuzzi asked if these were electronically controlled valves.

Staff Anderson responded yes, and our technical team has done lot of work on them. They have a backup hydraulic actuated, so they don't even need power, but they have backup battery power just in case because a vulnerability is losing power in an earthquake.

 Chair Perszyk asked if the high-pressure emergency firefighting system is a backup portable water system if the total water system fails.

Staff Anderson responded yes and say we didn't have a lot of fires, but we had breaks in our mains or if we had a lot of fires to put out but now, we need drinking water, this is a very reliable way to get drinking water.

Chair Perszyk commented a simple explanation of the backup would be helpful.

 Member Sanders asked in development areas like Treasure Island and Hunter's Point if auxiliary backup power exist or are there plans to provide them with backup power in the future.

Staff Anderson responded this is a good question and cannot answer to the developments but can answer to the firewater system. The

firewater backup power is small scale it powers these valves found in a cabinet on street level to turn that valve and run the communications from that valve.

 Member Sanders asked if the high-powered hydrants and the new piping service Site 12 or is it only for the new redevelopment.

Staff Anderson responded he does not know much about where the piping is but for the four hydrants on the map, that is the initial segment that connects to the fire boat manifold. As the development continues there will be 8 more piping and 8 more hydrants. He does not know how far the new piping goes but assumes that it goes to at least the new development.

Member Sanders commented based on history, all of this is for the new development and not the old. In the simplest way, land use was only transferred from the new area, so the City is only responsible for the new. The old is on federal land so it's like the Presidio where they are not responsible.

 Chair Perszyk commented the conceptual map shown would happen after the West Side section gets completed sometime in the future and it's a lot of work.

Staff Anderson responded the first slide is not realistic in any short amount of time and the \$4 billion was an underestimate at the time and have seen a massive inflation since 2020 so it will be more like \$8-10 billion these days and there are also a lot of ideas that are not fully developed in that figure.

Staff Zhu further responded in the past presentation Staff Katie Miller mentioned it makes sense to start on the West Side because you can see the huge gap and the source is the regional water at Lake Merced.

Staff Anderson further responded cost is always a huge challenge and there is already a pump station at Lake Merced and a draw into Lake Merced and because that capability already exists to provide lake water into the system during an emergency system, we can leverage existing infrastructure to help get more out of the funds we do have.

 Member Jacuzzi asked if 37th street is just downhill of Sunset Boulevard.

Staff Anderson responded yes.

Member Jacuzzi commented the Farmer's Market folks are going to be upset about this.

Staff Anderson responded the most challenging part of 37th Street is A.P. Giannini Middle School and St. Ignatius High School, and our current thought is to restrict construction to summer only and that way we won't impact the main school year. They do have summer programs, and we are going to reach out to them but have not yet

talked about how we can coordinate. We can be in an out relatively quick in front of these schools in the summer versus going on Sunset Boulevard which has a lot more traffic impact and less room to work.

Member Jacuzzi commented he represents District 4 and if they would like help reaching out to the farmer's market, he is happy to help.

Staff Anderson commented this would be great.

• Staff Smegal asked if the Central Pump Station is funded.

Staff Anderson responded the planning is funded up through planning.

 Member Nagengast asked how high firehouse 2 is and asked if its above sea level.

Staff Anderson responded it is a good question, and he does not know how what level it is at.

Member Smegal commented it is potentially tsunami vulnerable there.

Member Nagengast commented this is a great job on seismic resilience, but the SFPUC could think about resiliency more broadly.

• **Member Smegal** asked if the emergency fire water system is funded by property taxes and other revenue and not by water rates.

Staff Anderson responded they are funded by property taxes through General Obligation Bonds because they don't provide drinking water. The dual purchase system is 45% drinking water rates and 55% general obligation because it has drinking water benefits. The pump station will not fall under this dual purchase because it does not provide drinking water benefits.

Member Smegal asked if the General Obligation is City Revenue.

Staff Anderson responded no and thinks it is property taxes.

Staff Lauppe Rhodes commented she confirmed it is property taxes.

 Member Jacuzzi asked if it designed such that a fireboat can hook up and still shuttle people in an emergency away from the City at the same time.

Staff Zhu responded that is a great question and she knows SF Port has been working with the Fire Department because the landing does queue up for people, so we want to make sure we have the space for the fire boats and hose and for people to queue up. This effort has been closely coordinated because you also need to discuss access to the site because it is very congested with bike lanes and people.

Member Hebert commented the ferry landing is also being constructed next year and the SFPUC is working on this project as well.

Staff Zhu responded yes, currently they are dredging so they're working on underground improvements and next year the structure will be put.

 Chair Perszyk commented this is Staff Anderson's second time coming to present and asked what his experience coming to this committee and presenting has been like.

Staff Anderson responded it helps them think bigger picture and it was great to put Treasure Island on the map and think about the projects happening there. As a Project Manager, he is not as involved with some of the activities going on, so it helps bring that to our consciousness and start discussions. We get these comments like sea level rise that maybe someone before him has thought about but I didn't know the answer to it helps us think about these topics. We start working trying to move these projects forward but at this committee, it brings in different perspectives and connecting to community members.

Public Comment: None.

Discussion and Possible Action: Resolution to not sunset the SFPUC
 Citizens' Advisory Committee after a 3-year period, Eli Perszyk, Full CAC
 Chair

Discussion:

• Chair Perszyk commented the drafted resolution specifies the Commission Streamline Taskforce's recommendations which are to reduce the number of seats from 17 to 15, term length should be reduced from 4 to 3 years and move it out of the City Charter to the Administrative Code and add a 3-year sunset. Chair Perszyk commented his main concern is the 3-year sunset and asked members if there were other issues they would like to address, to please discuss.

Member Roach commented she sat through most of the meeting, and felt the Southeast Community Facility Commission served an important purpose and needs to continue to have representation, and the rate and fairness board also served an important purpose and should also continue or at least be modified to be part of the larger Citizen's Advisory Committee.

Chair Perszyk responded the Commission Streamlining Taskforce has a decision log and for each committee/commission they record their recommendations. Chair Perszyk understands it will be presented to the Board of Supervisors as a package and then it must go to the voters. The purpose of this resolution is to bring attention to the Supervisors, the Mayor, the SFPUC Commissioners and demonstrate the value of this Committee.

Member Sanders commented he believes this committee should still
exist and wishes it had more power where the SFPUC had to listen to

the recommendations put forth by the CAC and asked if this resolution just focuses on the not sunsetting rather than also changing the rules of the CAC.

Chair Perszyk responded yes because the committee is referenced in the City Charter and we must stick with the rules of the Charter, and we are not going to rewrite the Charter. We want to focus on something that is easily achievable and that would be something like not sunsetting the CAC.

• **Member Sanders** suggested to include the number of outages that Treasure Island has experienced in the last 25 years in the resolution.

Chair Perszyk responded he tried referencing this with items the Committee acted on, and an example include putting Treasure Island on the PUC maps, and we did bring attention and resources to that issue. There is nothing we can do about the number of outages as a committee.

 Member Roach commented the Streamlining Taskforce was focused on the cost of each of the committee and their function, it's a costbenefit. The SFPUC Commission has a very big budget, but the cost od the CAC is small in comparison, and she suggested possibly including our small budget in the resolution.

Chair Perszyk responded when he was able to attend the meeting, his impression was they don't care about the financial aspect and that is not going to win people over and there was a public commenter at the meeting who already commented on the financial aspect.

 Member Jacuzzi commented we could mention the value of transparency because we represent our districts and speak to constituents.

Staff Smegal commented the title is "Utilities Commission" and it goes back and forth between "Utility" and "Utilities", and we need to be consistent.

Member Hebert commented his understanding is SFPUC
Commissioners are mayoral appointees and for Board of Supervisors,
they have indirect oversight to this agency, and they don't have
representation at the SFPUC Commission, but they do at the CAC.

Chair Perszyk asked where this would go.

 Member Hebert responded to add his suggestion as its own paragraph because explaining the structure of the CAC is part of our why. If he was not serving on the CAC, his district would have no representation.

Member Smegal commented the fourth whereas clause contains this information so it can be included there.

Member Perszyk responded the fourth whereas clause can include a sentence that reads "and the Board of Supervisors doesn't have a

direct line of communication with the SFPUC Commissioners, and this Committee serves that role".

Member Smegal commented not all CAC members are appointed by the Board of Supervisors

The fourth whereas clause was updated to include "and the Board of Supervisors does not have a direct line of communication to SFPUC Commissioners and the majority of SFPUC CAC Members are appointed by the Board of Supervisors".

Member Nagengast commented that the first sentence already includes CAC members being appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

The fourth whereas clause was changed from "and the Board of Supervisors does not have a direct line of communication to SFPUC Commissioners and the majority of SFPUC CAC Members are appointed by the Board of Supervisors" to "and the Board of Supervisors does not have a direct line of communication to SFPUC Commissioners".

 Member Smegal commented other members including himself, represent perspectives and expertise that would not necessarily be present at the SFPUC Commission and provided the small business representative, the environmental representatives, and regional water customer representatives as examples.

Chair Perszyk responded he included a whereas clause stating Commissioners don't always have the background for all the technical complexity for what the SFPUC does and in the fourth clause it does state "subject matter experts" so this can be edited.

Member Smegal suggested it read "subject matter experts who provide perspectives and expertise not otherwise available to the Commissioners".

Chair Perszyk responded we all do that, and the point is the Commissioners do not have another way to get this because SFPUC might not give them all the information.

The fourth whereas clause was updated to "subject matter experts who provide perspectives and expertise not otherwise available to Commissioners".

 Member Herbet commented the third whereas clause should include that the Streamline Taskforce did not address written comments from CAC members.

Chair Perszyk suggested it read "failed to address the comments from this committee".

Member Hebert asked if it is trying to imply, they did not reply to all public comments or specifically the comments that were submitted.

Chair Perszyk responded he submitted public comment, and the Streamline Taskforce is characterizing this committee incorrectly. They did not know what we do or how often we meet, and they clearly did not read our comments before making their decisions.

Member Hebert suggested it should read "did not address submitted written comments from the Citizen's Advisory Committee Members". The third whereas clause had no changes made.

 Member Nagengast commented a whereas clause needs to be included after the third to correct the misinformation. It would be helpful to add that we meet monthly and have 3 subcommittees that meet 4 to 6 times a year, specifically dedicated to water, wastewater, and power.

Chair Perszyk responded it can be included in the fourth whereas clause and speak to the meeting frequency.

 Member Roach commented that we may want to include the Streamline Taskforce incorrectly stated our meeting schedule and did not recognize that we have three subcommittees.

Chair Perszyk agreed and suggested that it include the misinformation the Streamline Taskforce stated and provide corrected facts where the CAC meets 8 to 9 times a year and the subcommittees meet at least quarterly.

The third whereas clause was updated to include "however the Full CAC meet 8-9 times a year and the subcommittees meet quarterly".

Member Hebert asked if the subcommittees should be listed.

The third whereas clause was updated to list the 3 subcommittees "Power, Water and Wastewater Subcommittees".

 Member Jacuzzi commented it should be clarified that each subcommittee meets quarterly.

The third whereas clause was updated to reflect that each subcommittee meets at least quarterly.

Member Jacuzzi commented meetings occur more often than what is currently listed.

Member Baker commented she agreed, and it was more impactful to list the Full CAC meets 9 to 11 times a year and the subcommittees meet 4 to 6 times a year.

The third whereas clause was updated to "however the Full CAC meet 9 – 11 times a year, the Water, Power, and Wastewater Subcommittees each meet at least 4-6 times a year".

 Chair Perszyk commented the fifth whereas clause is the opportunity for members to include what the CAC has accomplished for example we had the racial equity presentation and environmental justice. **Member Law** commented there have been presentations on Public Power.

Member Nagengast commented there were presentations on Green Infrastructure.

Member Baker commented we had a presentation on workforce training and community benefits.

The fifth whereas clause was updated to include "Racial Equity, Public Power, Green Infrastructure, Community Benefits, Workforce and Training".

 Member Smegal commented at the top of this fifth whereas it would be more active to say we review rather than staff present.

The fifth whereas clause was changed from "San Francisco Public Utility Commission Staff annually present to" to "San Francisco Public Utility Commission Citizens' Advisory Committee reviews".

 Chair Perszyk suggested an addition to the sixth whereas clause is as community representatives, the SFPUC can get insight to community concerns.

The sixth whereas clause was updated to include "and community concerns".

 Member Smegal commented it is unfortunate that Member Clary is not here to share institutional knowledge that was shared to him by his predecessor regarding the CAC's role in Water System Improvement Plan (WSIP).

Chair Perszyk responded this is slightly addressed in the first whereas clause and his understanding is this committee began when the SFPUC started to receive bond financing so they could fund the infrastructure.

 Member Nagengast commented two things that are missing are the CAC Annual Report which include engaging and connecting staff to the community and make information from SFPUC Staff more publicly accessible.

The seventh whereas clause was updated to include "encourage public engagement and connect with community organizations and publish an annual report".

 Member Roach suggested the eighth whereas clause and the further resolved should say the Citizens of San Francisco to make the point the citizens are the ones being represented.

The eighth whereas clause was changed from "City of San Francisco" to the "Citizens of San Francisco".

 Member Smegal commented it should reflect the 1.8 million wholesale customers in the four Bay Area counties. **Member Baker** further commented quantifying it strengthens the impact of the CAC.

The eighth whereas clause was updated to include "1.8 million people in".

 Member Roach suggested the resolved clause should say formal citizen representation.

The Resolved clause was changed from "3. Reducing public review of the San Francisco Public Utility Commission…" to "3. Reducing formal citizen representation of the San Francisco Public Utility Commission".

 Chair Perszyk suggested the further resolved should read "and Mayor's Office" and the 3-year sunset date should be removed from the clause and the title.

The further resolved clause was changed from "...the Board of Supervisors to advocate to the Mayor's Office" to "...the Board of Supervisors, and the Mayor's Office" and removed "after a 3-year period."

The Resolution title was changed from "RESOLUTION TO NOT SUNSET THE SFPUC CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMITTEE AFTER A 3-YEAR PERIOD" to "RESOLUTION TO NOT SUSNET THE SFPUC CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMITTEE".

 Member Hernandez commented the further resolved clause should also include the other changes suggested by the Streamlining Taskforce like reducing the number of seats from 17 to 15 and moving it to the administrative code. Member Hernandez asked what the impact is moving it the administrative code.

Staff Moncrease responded moving it would allow for the committee to get eliminated because currently it sits in the City Charter.

• Member Baker asked who decides on which seats get eliminated.

Chair Perszyk responded the Streamline Taskforce has a couple seats in mind.

 Member Jacuzzi agreed all suggestions made by the Streamlining Taskforce should be included in the further resolved clause.

The further resolved clause was updated to include "or reduce the Committee seats from 17 – 15 and not move it from the Charter to the Administrative Code."

A motion was made (Hebert) and seconded (Hernandez) to adopt the resolution.

The motion was PASSED with the following votes:

AYES (9): Perszyk, Law, Roach, Jacuzzi, Hernandez, Nagengast, Hebert, Smegal, Baker.

NOES (0):

ABSENT (5): Chen, Clary, Soboll, Pinkston, Sanders.

Public Comment: None.

7. Staff Report

No Staff Report

Public Comment: None.

8. SFPUC Communications

- SFPUC Capital Project Delivery Methods
- SFPUC Wildfire Mitigation Plan 2025
- Capital Financing Plan FY 2025-26 to FY 2034-35
- Quarterly Audit and Performance Report, FY 2024-25, Q2
- Hazards and Climate Resilience Plan 2025 Update
- Water Enterprise
 - Long-term Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation Plan
 - o Water Supply Conditions Update (June 30, 2025)
 - Water Enterprise Capital Improvement Program Report, FY 2024-25 Q4
 - Hetch Hetchy Capital Improvement Program Report, FY 2024- 25
 Q4
 - Alternative Water Supply Annual Progress Report
 - o 2009 Water Supply Agreement Quarterly Update
 - o Onsite Water Reuse Projects
 - Supplemental Appropriation of Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response (ESER) 2010 and 2014 General Obligation Bonds Interest Earnings
 - o Water System Improvement Program Report, FY 2024-25, Q4
- Wastewater Enterprise
 - o Recent Wastewater Enterprise Bond Sale Results no update
 - o Wastewater Enterprise Quarterly Report (Q4 FY2024-25)
- Power Enterprise
 - o CleanPowerSF Update
 - o PG&E Interconnection Report, FY 2023-24, Q4

Public Comment: None

9. Future Agenda Items and Resolutions

CAC Advance Calendar

Public Comment: None

10. Announcements/Comments Please visit www.sfpuc.org/cac for confirmation of the next scheduled meeting, agenda, and materials.

Public Comment: None

11. Adjournment at 7:13 pm

For more information concerning the agendas, minutes, and meeting information, please visit www.sfwater.org/cac. For more information concerning the CAC, please contact via email at cac@sfwater.org or by calling (415) 517-8465.

Disability Access

The following services are available on request 48 hours prior to the meeting; except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline shall be 4:00 p.m. of the last business day of the preceding week: For American sign language interpreters or the use of a reader during a meeting, a sound enhancement system, and/or alternative formats of the agenda and minutes, please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465 or our TTY at (415) 554-3488 to make arrangements for the accommodation. Late requests will be honored, if possible.

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals. Individuals with chemical sensitivity or related disabilities should call our accessibility hotline at (415) 554-6789.

LANGUAGE ACCESS

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Committee. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, or cac@sfwater.org at least 48 hours in advance of the hearing. Late requests will be honored if possible.

語言服務

根據三藩市行政法第91章"語言服務條例",中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語口譯服務在有人提出要求後會提供。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會後要求提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也可提供。請於會議前至少48小時致電 (415) 517-8465 或電郵至 [cac@sfwater.org] Lexus Moncrease 提出口譯要求。逾期要求, 在可能狀況下會被考慮。

ACCESO A IDIOMAS

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas "Language Access Ordinance" (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco "Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code") intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Los minutos podrán ser traducidos, de ser requeridos, luego de ser aprobados por la comité. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con Lexus Moncrease al (415) 517-8465, o cac@sfwater.org por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

PAG-ACCESS SA WIKA

Ayon sa Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 ng San Francisco Administrative Code), maaaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin sa wikang Tsino, Espanyol, at/o

Filipino (Tagalog). Kapag hiniling, ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komite. Maari din magkaroon ng tulong sa ibang wika. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyaring tumawag sa Lexus Moncrease at (415) 517-8465, o cac@sfwater.org sa hindi bababa sa 48 oras bago mag miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng pagbibigyan.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code §2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102, Phone: (415) 252-3100/Fax: (415) 252-3112, Email: ethics.commission@sfgov.org.

Know your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4683; by telephone 415-554-7724, by Fax 415-554-7854, or by email: sotf@sfgov.org

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.